

.....

1

TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS

JANUARY 2015



International Standard for Testing and Investigations

The World Anti-Doping Code International Standard for Testing and Investigations (ISTI) is a mandatory *International Standard* developed as part of the World Anti-Doping Program.

The International Standard for Testing (IST) was first adopted in 2003 and came into effect 1 January 2004. A revised IST was approved in 2008, and came into effect 1 January 2009; a further revised IST was approved in 2011 and came into effect 1 January 2012. The enclosed ISTI, renamed the International Standard for Testing and Investigations (ISTI), incorporates revisions to the ISTI and was approved at the World Conference on Doping in Sport in Johannesburg by the WADA Executive Committee on 15 November 2013. It will come into effect on 1 January 2015.

The official text of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall be maintained by *WADA* and shall be published in English and French. In the event of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail.

Published by:

World Anti-Doping Agency Stock Exchange Tower 800 Place Victoria (Suite 1700) PO Box 120 Montreal, Quebec Canada H4Z 1B7

URL: www.wada-ama.org

Tel:	+1 514 904 9232
Fax:	+1 514 904 8650
E-mail:	code@wada-ama.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAR	τ ονι	E: INTRODUCTION, CODE PROVISIONS AND DEFINITIONS	1
1.0	Iı	ntroduction and scope	1
2.0	C	ode provisions	1
3.0	D	efinitions and interpretation	. 19
PAR	ттw	0: STANDARDS FOR <i>TESTING</i>	.28
4.0	Ρ	lanning effective <i>Testing</i>	. 28
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Objective Risk assessment Establishing the overall pool of <i>Athletes</i> Prioritizing between sports and/or disciplines Prioritizing between different <i>Athletes</i> Prioritizing between different types of <i>Testing</i> <i>Sample</i> analysis Collecting whereabouts information Co-ordinating with other <i>Anti-Doping Organizations</i>	28 29 30 32 33 35 37 38 42
5.0	Ν	otification of <i>Athletes</i>	. 43
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Objective General Requirements prior to notification of <i>Athletes</i> Requirements for notification of <i>Athletes</i>	43 43 43 45
6.0	Ρ	reparing for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>	. 48
	6.1 6.2 6.3	Objective General Requirements for preparing for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>	48 48 49
7.0	C	onducting the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>	. 50
	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Objective General Requirements prior to <i>Sample</i> collection Requirements for <i>Sample</i> collection	50 50 51 51
8.0	S	ecurity/Post-test administration	. 54
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Objective General Requirements for security/post-test administration	54 54 54
9.0	Т	ransport of <i>Samples</i> and documentation	. 55
	9.1 9.2 9.3	Objective General Requirements for transport and storage of <i>Samples</i> and documentation	55 55 55
10.0	0	wnership of <i>Samples</i>	. 56
		REE: STANDARDS FOR INTELLIGENCEGATHERING AND	67
11.0		athering, assessment and use of intelligence	
11.0		Objective	57
		Gathering of anti-doping intelligence	57

11.3 11.4		58 58
12.0	12.0 Investigations	
	Investigating <i>Atypical Findings</i> and <i>Adverse Passport Findings</i> Investigating other possible anti-doping rule violations	59 59 60 61
PART FO	UR: ANNEXES	63
Annex A	- Investigating a Possible Failure to Comply	63
A.1 A.2 A.3 A.4	Objective Scope Responsibility Requirements	63 63 63 64
	- Modifications for <i>Athletes</i> with Impairments	
B.1 B.2 B.3 B.4	Objective Scope Responsibility Requirements	65 65 65 65
	- Modifications for <i>Athletes</i> who are <i>Minors</i>	
C.1	Objective	67
C.2 C.3 C.4	Scope Responsibility Requirements	67 67 67
	- Collection of Urine Samples	
D.1 D.2 D.3 D.4	Objective Scope Responsibility Requirements	69 69 69 69
Annex E	- Collection of Blood Samples	73
E.1 E.2	Objective Scope	73 73
E.3	Responsibility	73
E.4	Requirements	74
F.1	- Urine Samples - Insufficient Volume Objective	77 77
F.2 F.3	Scope Responsibility	77 77
F.4	Requirements	77
	- Urine Samples that do not meet the requirement for Suit	
Specific G.1	<u>Gravity for Analysis</u> Objective	79 79
G.2	Scope	79
G.3 G.4	Responsibility Requirements	79 79
Annex H	- Sample Collection Personnel Requirements	81
H.1	Objective	81
H.2 H.3	Scope Responsibility	81 81
H.4 H.5		81 82
Annex I	- <u>Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements</u>	84

I.5 I.6	Results Management Whereabouts Responsibilities	96 100
I.4	Availability for <i>Testing</i>	93
I.3	Whereabouts Filing Requirements	88
I.2	Entering and leaving a Registered Testing Pool	85
I.1	Introduction	84

PART ONE: INTRODUCTION, CODE PROVISIONS AND DEFINITIONS

1.0 Introduction and scope

The International Standard for Testing and Investigations is a mandatory *International Standard* developed as part of the World Anti-Doping Program.

The first purpose of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to plan for intelligent and effective *Testing*, both *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition*, and to maintain the integrity and identity of the *Samples* collected from the point the *Athlete* is notified of the test to the point the *Samples* are delivered to the laboratory for analysis. To that end, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations (including its Annexes) establishes mandatory standards for test distribution planning (including collection and use of *Athlete* whereabouts information), notification of *Athletes*, preparing for and conducting *Sample* collection, security/post-test administration of *Samples* and documentation, and transport of *Samples* to laboratories for analysis.

The second purpose of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to establish mandatory standards for the efficient and effective gathering, assessment and use of anti-doping intelligence and for the efficient and effective conduct of investigations into possible anti-doping rule violations.

Like the *Code*, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations has been drafted giving due consideration to the principles of respect for human rights, proportionality, and other applicable legal principles. It shall be interpreted and applied in that light.

Terms used in this *International Standard* that are defined terms from the *Code* are written in italics. Terms that are defined in this *International Standard* are underlined.

2.0 *Code* provisions

The following articles in the 2015 *Code* are directly relevant to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations:

Code Article 2 Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

2.1 Presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's Sample.*

...

2.2 Use or Attempted Use by an Athlete of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method.

...

2.3 Evading, Refusing or Failing to Submit to *Sample* Collection.

Evading *Sample* collection, or without compelling justification refusing or failing to submit to *Sample* collection after notification as authorized in applicable anti-doping rules.

[Comment to Article 2.3: For example, it would be an anti-doping rule violation of "evading Sample collection" if it were established that an Athlete was deliberately avoiding a Doping Control official to evade notification or Testing. A violation of "failing to submit to Sample collection" may be based on either intentional or negligent conduct of the Athlete, while "evading" or "refusing" Sample collection contemplates intentional conduct by the Athlete.]

2.4 Whereabouts Failures.

Any combination of three missed tests and/or filing failures, as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, within a twelve-month period by an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool*.

2.5 *Tampering* or *Attempted Tampering* with any part of *Doping Control.*

Conduct which subverts the *Doping Control* process but which would not otherwise be included in the definition of *Prohibited Methods*. *Tampering* shall include, without limitation, intentionally interfering or attempting to interfere with a *Doping Control* official, providing fraudulent information to an *Anti-Doping Organization* or intimidating or attempting to intimidate a potential witness.

[Comment to Article 2.5: For example, this Article would prohibit altering identification numbers on a Doping Control form during Testing, breaking the B bottle at the time of B Sample analysis, or altering a Sample by the addition of a foreign substance.

Offensive conduct towards a Doping Control official or other Person involved in Doping Control which does not otherwise constitute Tampering shall be addressed in the disciplinary rules of sport organizations.]

2.6 *Possession* of a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method*.

•••

2.7 *Trafficking* or *Attempted Trafficking* in any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method.*

2.8 Administration or Attempted Administration to any Athlete In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, or Administration or Attempted Administration to any Athlete Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method that is prohibited Out-of-Competition.

2.9 Complicity.

Assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, conspiring, covering up or any other type of intentional complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation, *Attempted* anti-doping rule violation or violation of Article 10.12.1 by another *Person*.

2.10 Prohibited Association.

Association by an *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization* in a professional or sport-related capacity with any *Athlete Support Person* who:

2.10.1 If subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization*, is serving a period of *Ineligibility*; or

2.10.2 If not subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization* and where *Ineligibility* has not been addressed in a results management process pursuant to the *Code*, has been convicted or found in a criminal, disciplinary or professional proceeding to have engaged in conduct which would have constituted a violation of anti-doping rules if *Code*-compliant rules had been applicable to such *Person*. The disqualifying status of such *Person* shall be in force for the longer of six years from the criminal, professional or disciplinary decision or the duration of the criminal, disciplinary or professional sanction imposed; or

2.10.3 Is serving as a front or intermediary for an individual described in Article 2.10.1 or 2.10.2.

Code Article 5 Testing and Investigations

5.1 Purpose of *Testing* and Investigations.

Testing and investigations shall only be undertaken for anti-doping purposes.

5.1.1 *Testing* shall be undertaken to obtain analytical evidence as to the *Athlete's* compliance (or non-compliance) with the strict *Code* prohibition on the presence/*Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

5.1.2 Investigations shall be undertaken:

(a) in relation to *Atypical Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings*, in accordance with Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under Article 2.1 and/or Article 2.2; and

(b) in relation to other indications of potential anti-doping rule violations, in accordance with Articles 7.6 and 7.7, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, non-analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under any of Articles 2.2 to 2.10.

5.2 Scope of *Testing*.

Any *Athlete* may be required to provide a *Sample* at any time and at any place by any *Anti-Doping Organization* with *Testing* authority over him or her. Subject to the jurisdictional limitations for *Event Testing* set out in Article 5.3:

5.2.1 Each National Anti-Doping Organization shall have In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing authority over all Athletes who are nationals, residents, license-holders or members of sport organizations of that country or who are present in that National Anti-Doping Organization's country.

5.2.2 Each International Federation shall have *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing* authority over all *Athletes* who are subject to its rules, including those who participate in

International Events or who participate in *Events* governed by the rules of that International Federation, or who are members or license-holders of that International Federation or its member National Federations, or their members.

5.2.3 Organization, including Each Major Event the International Olympic Committee and the International Paralympic Committee, shall have In-Competition Testing authority for its *Events* and *Out-of-Competition* Testina authority over all *Athletes* entered in one of its future *Events* or who have otherwise been made subject to the *Testing* authority of the Major Event Organization for a future Event.

5.2.4 *WADA* shall have *In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing* authority as set out in Article 20.

5.2.5 *Anti-Doping Organizations* may test any *Athlete* over whom they have *Testing* authority who has not retired, including *Athletes* serving a period of *Ineligibility*.

5.2.6 If an International Federation or *Major Event Organization* delegates or contracts any part of *Testing* to a *National Anti-Doping Organization* (directly or through a National Federation), that *National Anti-Doping Organization* may collect additional *Samples* or direct the laboratory to perform additional types of analysis at the *National Anti-Doping Organization Organization's* expense. If additional *Samples* are collected or additional types of analysis are performed, the International Federation or *Major Event Organization* shall be notified.

[Comment to Article 5.2: Additional authority to conduct Testing may be conferred by means of bilateral or multilateral agreements among Signatories. Unless the Athlete has identified a 60-minute Testing window during the following-described time period, or otherwise consented to Testing during that period, before Testing an Athlete between the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m., an Anti-Doping Organization should have serious and specific suspicion that the Athlete may be engaged in doping. A challenge to whether an Anti-Doping Organization had sufficient suspicion for Testing during this time period shall not be a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on such test or attempted test.]

5.3 Event Testing.

5.3.1 Except as otherwise provided below, only a single organization should be responsible for initiating and directing

Testing at Event Venues during an Event Period. At International Events, the collection of Samples shall be initiated and directed by the international organization which is the ruling body for the Event (e.g., the International Olympic Committee for the Olympic Games, the International Federation for a World Championship, and the Pan-American Sports Organization for the Pan American Games). At National Events, the collection of Samples shall be initiated and directed by the National Anti-Doping Organization of that country. At the request of the ruling body for an Event, any Testing during the Event Period outside of the Event Venues shall be coordinated with that ruling body.

[Comment to Article 5.3.1: Some ruling bodies for International Events may be doing their own Testing outside of the Event Venues during the Event Period and thus want to coordinate that Testing with National Anti-Doping Organization Testing.]

5.3.2 If an Anti-Doping Organization which would otherwise have *Testing* authority but is not responsible for initiating and directing *Testing* at an *Event* desires to conduct *Testing* of Athletes at the Event Venues during the Event Period, the Anti-Doping Organization shall first confer with the ruling body of the Event to obtain permission to conduct and coordinate such *Testing.* If the *Anti-Doping Organization* is not satisfied with the response from the ruling body of the Event, the Anti-Doping *Organization* may, in accordance with procedures published by WADA, ask WADA for permission to conduct Testing and to determine how to coordinate such *Testing*, *WADA* shall not grant approval for such *Testing* before consulting with and informing the ruling body for the Event. WADA's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal. Unless otherwise provided in the authorization to conduct *Testing*, such tests shall be considered *Out-of-Competition* tests. Results management for any such test shall be the responsibility of the Anti-Doping Organization initiating the test unless provided otherwise in the rules of the ruling body of the *Event*.

[Comment to Article 5.3.2: Before giving approval to a National Anti-Doping Organization to initiate and conduct Testing at an International Event, WADA shall consult with the international organization which is the ruling body for the Event. Before giving approval to an International Federation to initiate and conduct Testing at a National Event, WADA shall consult with the National Anti-Doping Organization of the country where the Event takes place. The Anti-Doping Organization "initiating and directing Testing" may, if it chooses, enter into agreements with other organizations to which it delegates responsibility for Sample collection or other aspects of the Doping Control process.]

5.4 Test Distribution Planning.

5.4.1 *WADA*, in consultation with International Federations and other *Anti-Doping Organizations*, will adopt a Technical Document under the International Standard for Testing and Investigations that establishes by means of a risk assessment which *Prohibited Substances* and/or *Prohibited Methods* are most likely to be abused in particular sports and sport disciplines.

5.4.2 Starting with that risk assessment, each *Anti-Doping Organization* with *Testing* authority shall develop and implement an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan that prioritizes appropriately between disciplines, categories of *Athletes*, types of *Testing*, types of *Samples* collected, and types of *Sample* analysis, all in compliance with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. Each *Anti-Doping Organization* shall provide *WADA* upon request with a copy of its current test distribution plan.

5.4.3 Where reasonably feasible, *Testing* shall be coordinated through *ADAMS* or another system approved by *WADA* in order to maximize the effectiveness of the combined *Testing* effort and to avoid unnecessary repetitive *Testing*.

5.5 *Testing* Requirements.

All *Testing* shall be conducted in conformity with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

5.6 *Athlete* Whereabouts Information.

Athletes who have been included in a Registered Testing Pool by their International Federation and/or National Anti-Doping Organization shall provide whereabouts information in the manner specified in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. The International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations shall coordinate the identification of such Athletes and the collection of their whereabouts information. Each International Federation and National Anti-Doping Organization shall make available through ADAMS or another system approved by WADA, a list which identifies those Athletes included in its Registered Testing Pool either by name or by clearly defined, specific criteria. Athletes shall be notified before they are included in a *Registered Testing Pool* and when they are removed from that pool. The whereabouts information they provide while in the *Registered Testing Pool* will be accessible, through ADAMS or another system approved by WADA, to WADA and to other Anti-Doping Organizations having authority to test the *Athlete* as provided in Article 5.2. This information shall be maintained in strict confidence at all times; shall be used exclusively for purposes of planning, coordinating or conducting *Doping Control*, providing information relevant to the Athlete Biological Passport or other analytical results, to support an investigation into a potential anti-doping rule violation, or to support proceedings alleging an anti-doping rule violation; and shall be destroyed after it is no longer relevant for these purposes in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.

•••

5.8 Investigations and Intelligence Gathering.

Anti-Doping Organizations shall ensure they are able to do each of the following, as applicable and in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations:

5.8.1 Obtain, assess and process anti-doping intelligence from all available sources to inform the development of an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan, to plan *Target Testing*, and/or to form the basis of an investigation into a possible anti-doping rule violation(s); and

5.8.2 Investigate *Atypical Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings*, in accordance with Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively; and

5.8.3 Investigate any other analytical or non-analytical information or intelligence that indicates a possible anti-doping rule violation(s), in accordance with Articles 7.6 and 7.7, in order either to rule out the possible violation or to develop evidence that would support the initiation of an anti-doping rule violation proceeding.

Code Article 6 Analysis of Samples

6.2 Purpose of Analysis of *Samples*.

Samples shall be analyzed to detect *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* identified on the *Prohibited List* and other substances as may be directed by *WADA* pursuant to Article 4.5, or to assist an *Anti-Doping Organization* in profiling relevant parameters in an *Athlete's* urine, blood or other matrix, including DNA or genomic profiling, or for any other legitimate anti-doping purpose. *Samples* may be collected and stored for future analysis.

[Comment to Article 6.2: For example, relevant profile information could be used to direct Target Testing or to support an anti-doping rule violation proceeding under Article 2.2, or both.]

...

6.4 Standards for *Sample* Analysis and Reporting.

Laboratories shall analyze *Samples* and report results in conformity with the International Standard for Laboratories. To ensure effective *Testing*, the Technical Document referenced at Article 5.4.1 will establish risk assessment-based *Sample* analysis menus appropriate for particular sports and sport disciplines, and laboratories shall analyze *Samples* in conformity with those menus, except as follows:

6.4.1 *Anti-Doping Organizations* may request that laboratories analyze their *Samples* using more extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document.

6.4.2 *Anti-Doping Organizations* may request that laboratories analyze their *Samples* using less extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document only if they have satisfied *WADA* that, because of the particular circumstances of their country or sport, as set out in their test distribution plan, less extensive analysis would be appropriate.

6.4.3 As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, laboratories at their own initiative and expense may analyze *Samples* for *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods* not included on the *Sample* analysis menu described in the Technical Document or specified by the *Testing* authority. Results from any such analysis shall be reported and have the same validity and consequence as any other analytical result. [Comment to Article 6.4: The objective of this Article is to extend the principle of "intelligent Testing" to the Sample analysis menu so as to most effectively and efficiently detect doping. It is recognized that the resources available to fight doping are limited and that increasing the Sample analysis menu may, in some sports and countries, reduce the number of Samples which can be analyzed.]

6.5 Further Analysis of *Samples*.

Any *Sample* may be subject to further analysis by the *Anti-Doping Organization* responsible for results management at any time before both the A and B *Sample* analytical results (or A *Sample* result where B *Sample* analysis has been waived or will not be performed) have been communicated by the *Anti-Doping Organization* to the *Athlete* as the asserted basis for an Article 2.1 anti-doping rule violation.

Samples may be stored and subjected to further analyses for the purpose of Article 6.2 at any time exclusively at the direction of the Anti-Doping Organization that initiated and directed Sample collection or WADA. (Any Sample storage or further analysis initiated by WADA shall be at WADA's expense.) Further analysis of Samples shall conform with the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Code Article 7 Results Management

7.1 Responsibility for Conducting Results Management.

Except as provided in Articles 7.1.1 and 7.1.2 below, results management and hearings shall be the responsibility of, and shall be governed by, the procedural rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* that initiated and directed *Sample* collection (or, if no *Sample* collection is involved, the *Anti-Doping Organization* which first provides notice to an *Athlete* or other *Person* of an asserted anti-doping rule violation and then diligently pursues that anti-doping rule violation). ...

7.1.2 Results management in relation to a potential Whereabouts Failure (a filing failure or a missed test) shall be administered by the International Federation or the *National Anti-Doping Organization* with whom the *Athlete* in question files his or her whereabouts information, as provided in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. The *Anti-Doping Organization* that determines a filing failure or a missed test shall submit that information to *WADA* through *ADAMS* or

another system approved by *WADA*, where it will be made available to other relevant *Anti-Doping Organizations*.

•••

7.4 Review of *Atypical Findings*.

As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, in some circumstances laboratories are directed to report the presence of *Prohibited Substances*, which may also be produced endogenously, as Atypical Findings subject to further investigation. Upon receipt of an Atypical Finding, the Anti-Doping Organization responsible for results management shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable TUE has been granted or will be granted as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding. If that review does not reveal an applicable TUE or departure that caused the Atypical Finding, the Anti-Doping Organization shall conduct the required investigation. After the investigation is completed, the Athlete and other Anti-Doping Organizations identified in Article 14.1.2 shall be notified whether or not the Atypical Finding will be brought forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding. The Athlete shall be notified as provided in Article 7.3.

[Comment to Article 7.4: The "required investigation" described in this Article will depend on the situation. For example, if it has previously determined that an Athlete has a naturally elevated testosterone/epitestosterone ratio, confirmation that an Atypical Finding is consistent with that prior ratio is a sufficient investigation.]

...

7.5 Review of *Atypical Passport Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings*.

Review of *Atypical Passport Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings* shall take place as provided in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories. At such time as the *Anti-Doping Organization* is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the *Athlete* notice, in the manner set out in its rules, of the anti-doping rule violated, and the basis of the violation. Other *Anti-Doping Organization* shall be notified as provided in Article 14.1.2.

7.6 Review of Whereabouts Failures.

Review of potential filing failures and missed tests shall take place as provided in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. At such time as the International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable) is satisfied that an Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the *Athlete* notice, in the manner set out in its rules, that it is asserting a violation of Article 2.4 and the basis of that assertion. Other *Anti-Doping Organizations* shall be notified as provided in Article 14.1.2.

7.7 Review of Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations Not Covered by Articles 7.1-7.6.

The Anti-Doping Organization or other reviewing body established by such organization shall conduct any follow-up investigation into a possible anti-doping rule violation as may be required under applicable anti-doping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the *Code* or which the *Anti-Doping Organization* otherwise considers appropriate. At such time as the *Anti-Doping Organization* is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the *Athlete* or other *Person* notice, in the manner set out in its rules, of the anti-doping rule violated, and the basis of the violation. Other *Anti-Doping Organization* shall be notified as provided in Article 14.1.2.

[Comment to Articles 7.1, 7.6 and 7.7: For example, an International Federation typically would notify the Athlete through the Athlete's National Federation.]

•••

Code Article 10 Sanctions on Individuals

10.3.2 For violations of Article 2.4, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one year, depending on the *Athlete's* degree of *Fault*. The flexibility between two years and one year of *Ineligibility* in this Article is not available to *Athletes* where a pattern of last-minute whereabouts changes or other conduct raises a serious suspicion that the *Athlete* was trying to avoid being available for *Testing*.

...

10.6 Elimination, Reduction, or Suspension of Period of *Ineligibility* or other *Consequences* for Reasons Other than *Fault*.

10.6.1 *Substantial Assistance* in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations.

10.6.1.1 An Anti-Doping Organization with results management responsibility for an anti-doping rule violation may, prior to a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of the time to appeal, suspend a part of the period of Ineligibility imposed in an individual case where the Athlete or other Person has provided Substantial Assistance to an Anti-Doping Organization, authority professional criminal or disciplinary body which results in: (i) the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or bringing forward an antidoping rule violation by another *Person*, or (ii) which results in a criminal or disciplinary body discovering or bringing forward a criminal offense or the breach of professional rules committed by another *Person* and the information provided by the Person providing Substantial Assistance is made available to the Anti-Doping Organization with results management responsibility. ...

Code Article 13 Appeals

13.3 Failure to Render a Timely Decision by an *Anti-Doping Organization.*

Where, in a particular case, an *Anti-Doping Organization* fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed within a reasonable deadline set by *WADA*, *WADA* may elect to appeal directly to *CAS* as if the *Anti-Doping Organization* had rendered a decision finding no anti-doping rule violation. If the *CAS* hearing panel determines that an anti-doping rule violation was committed and that *WADA* acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to *CAS*, then *WADA's* costs and attorney fees in prosecuting the appeal shall be reimbursed to *WADA* by the *Anti-Doping Organization*.

[Comment to Article 13.3: Given the different circumstances of each antidoping rule violation investigation and results management process, it is not feasible to establish a fixed time period for an Anti-Doping Organization to render a decision before WADA may intervene by appealing directly to CAS. Before taking such action, however, WADA will consult with the Anti-Doping

Organization and give the Anti-Doping Organization an opportunity to explain why it has not yet rendered a decision. Nothing in this Article prohibits an International Federation from also having rules which authorize it to assume jurisdiction for matters in which the results management performed by one of its National Federations has been inappropriately delayed.]

Code Article 14 Confidentiality and Reporting

14.1 Information Concerning *Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings,* and other Asserted Anti-Doping Rule Violations.

14.1.1 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to *Athletes* and other *Persons*.

The form and manner of notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation shall be as provided in the rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* with results management responsibility.

14.1.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to *National Anti-Doping Organizations,* International Federations and *WADA*.

The Anti-Doping Organization with results management responsibility shall also notify the Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization, International Federation and WADA of the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation simultaneously with the notice to the Athlete or other Person.

•••

14.1.4 Status Reports.

Except with respect to investigations which have not resulted in notice of an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 14.1.1, the *Anti-Doping Organizations* referenced in Article 14.1.2 shall be regularly updated on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Article 7, 8 or 13 and shall be provided with a prompt written reasoned explanation or decision explaining the resolution of the matter.

•••

Code Article 20 Additional Roles and Responsibilities of Signatories

20.1 Roles and Responsibilities of the International Olympic Committee.

...

20.1.7 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping.

•••

20.2 Roles and Responsibilities of the International Paralympic Committee.

...

20.2.7 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping.

...

20.3 Roles and Responsibilities of International Federations.

...

20.3.6 To require National Federations to report any information suggesting or relating to an anti-doping rule violation to their *National Anti-Doping Organization* and International Federation and to cooperate with investigations conducted by any *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority to conduct the investigation. ...

20.3.10 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping, to ensure proper enforcement of *Consequences*, and to conduct an automatic investigation of *Athlete Support Personnel* in the case of any anti-doping rule violation involving a *Minor* or *Athlete Support*

Person who has provided support to more than one *Athlete* found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation. ...

20.3.14 To cooperate fully with *WADA* in connection with investigations conducted by *WADA* pursuant to Article 20.7.10.

•••

20.4 Roles and Responsibilities of *National Olympic Committees* and National Paralympic Committees.

...

20.4.4 To require National Federations to report any information suggesting or relating to an anti-doping rule violation to their *National Anti-Doping Organization* and International Federation and to cooperate with investigations conducted by any *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority to conduct the investigation. ...

20.4.10 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping. ...

20.5 Roles and Responsibilities of *National Anti-Doping Organizations*.

...

20.5.4 To encourage reciprocal *Testing* between *National Anti-Doping Organizations*. ...

20.5.7 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping and to ensure proper enforcement of *Consequences*. ...

20.5.9 To conduct an automatic investigation of *Athlete Support Personnel* within its jurisdiction in the case of any antidoping rule violation by a *Minor* and to conduct an automatic investigation of any *Athlete Support Person* who has provided support to more than one *Athlete* found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation. 20.5.10 To cooperate fully with *WADA* in connection with investigations conducted by *WADA* pursuant to Article 20.7.10.

20.6 Roles and Responsibilities of *Major Event Organizations*.

•••

20.6.5 To vigorously pursue all potential anti-doping rule violations within its jurisdiction including investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in each case of doping. ...

20.7 Roles and Responsibilities of WADA.

•••

20.7.7 To design and conduct an effective *Independent Observer Program* and other types of *Event* advisory programs.

20.7.8 To conduct, in exceptional circumstances and at the direction of the *WADA* Director General, *Doping Controls* on its own initiative or as requested by other *Anti-Doping Organizations*, and to cooperate with relevant national and international organizations and agencies, including but not limited to, facilitating inquiries and investigations.

[Comment to Article 20.7.8: WADA is not a Testing agency, but it reserves the right, in exceptional circumstances, to conduct its own tests where problems have been brought to the attention of the relevant Anti-Doping Organization and have not been satisfactorily addressed.]

20.7.9 To approve, in consultation with International Federations, *National Anti-Doping Organizations*, and *Major Event Organizations*, defined *Testing* and *Sample* analysis programs.

20.7.10 To initiate its own investigations of anti-doping rule violations and other activities that may facilitate doping.

Code Article 21 Additional Roles and Responsibilities of *Athletes* and other *Persons*

21.1 Roles and Responsibilities of *Athletes*.

...

21.1.2 To be available for *Sample* collection at all times.

[Comment to Article 21.1.2: With due regard to an Athlete's human rights and privacy, legitimate anti-doping considerations sometimes require Sample collection late at night or early in the morning. For example, it is known that some Athletes Use low doses of EPO during these hours so that it will be undetectable in the morning.]

...

21.1.6 To cooperate with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations.

[Comment to Article 21.1.6 Failure to cooperate is not an anti-doping rule violation under the Code, but it may be the basis for disciplinary action under a stakeholder's rules.]

21.2 Roles and Responsibilities of *Athlete Support Personnel.*

...

21.2.2 To cooperate with the *Athlete Testing* program.

...

21.2.5 To cooperate with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations.

[Comment to Article 21.2.5 Failure to cooperate is not an anti-doping rule violation under the Code, but it may be the basis for disciplinary action under a stakeholder's rules.]

...

21.3 Roles and Responsibilities of *Regional Anti-Doping Organizations.*

...

21.3.4 To encourage reciprocal *Testing* between *National Anti-Doping Organizations* and *Regional Anti-Doping Organizations.*

Code Article 23 Acceptance, Compliance and Modification

23.3 Implementation of Anti-Doping Programs.

Signatories shall devote sufficient resources in order to implement anti-doping programs in all areas that are compliant with the *Code* and the *International Standards*.

...

...

3.0 Definitions and interpretation

3.1 Defined terms from the 2015 *Code* that are used in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations:

ADAMS: The Anti-Doping Administration and Management System is a Webbased database management tool for data entry, storage, sharing, and reporting designed to assist stakeholders and *WADA* in their anti-doping operations in conjunction with data protection legislation.

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory that, consistent with the International Standard for Laboratories and related Technical Documents, identifies in a Sample the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method.

Adverse Passport Finding: A report identified as an Adverse Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

Anti-Doping Organization: A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other *Major Event Organizations* that conduct *Testing* at their *Events*, *WADA*, International Federations, and *National Anti-Doping Organizations*.

Athlete: Any *Person* who competes in sport at the international level (as defined by each International Federation) or the national level (as defined by each *National Anti-Doping Organization*). An *Anti-Doping Organization* has discretion to apply anti-doping rules to an *Athlete* who is neither an *International-Level Athlete* nor a *National-Level Athlete*, and thus to bring

them within the definition of "Athlete." In relation to *Athletes* who are neither *International-Level* nor *National-Level Athletes*, an *Anti-Doping Organization* may elect to: conduct limited *Testing* or no *Testing* at all; analyze *Samples* for less than the full menu of *Prohibited Substances*; require limited or no whereabouts information; or not require advance *TUEs*. However, if an Article 2.1, 2.3 or 2.5 anti-doping *Organization* has authority who competes below the international or national level, then the *Consequences* set forth in the *Code* (except Article 14.3.2) must be applied. For purposes of Article 2.8 and Article 2.9 and for purposes of anti-doping information and education, any *Person* who participates in sport under the authority of any *Signatory*, government, or other sports organization accepting the *Code* is an *Athlete*.

[Comment: This definition makes it clear that all International- and National-Level Athletes are subject to the anti-doping rules of the Code, with the precise definitions of international- and national-level sport to be set forth in the anti-doping rules of the International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations, respectively. The definition also allows each National Anti-Doping Organization, if it chooses to do so, to expand its anti-doping program beyond International- or National-Level Athletes to competitors at lower levels of Competition or to individuals who engage in fitness activities but do not compete at all. Thus, a National Anti-Doping Organization could, for example, elect to test recreational-level competitors but not require advance TUEs. But an anti-doping rule violation involving an Adverse Analytical Finding or Tampering, results in all of the Consequences provided for in the Code (with the exception of Article 14.3.2). The decision on whether Consequences apply to recreational-level Athletes who engage in fitness activities but never compete is left to the National Anti-Doping Organization. In the same manner, a Major Event Organization holding an Event only for masters-level competitors could elect to test the competitors but not analyze Samples for the full menu of Prohibited Substances. Competitors at all levels of Competition should receive the benefit of antidoping information and education.]

Athlete Biological Passport: The program and methods of gathering and collating data as described in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories.

Atypical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory which requires further investigation as provided by the International Standard for Laboratories or related Technical Documents prior to the determination of an Adverse Analytical Finding.

Code: The World Anti-Doping Code.

Competition: A single race, match, game or singular sport contest. For example, a basketball game or the finals of the Olympic 100-meter race in athletics. For stage races and other sport contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a *Competition* and an *Event* will be as provided in the rules of the applicable International Federation.

Doping Control: All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal including all steps and processes in between such as provision of whereabouts information, *Sample* collection and handling, laboratory analysis, *TUEs*, results management and hearings.

Event: A series of individual *Competitions* conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FINA World Championships, or Pan American Games).

Event Venues: Those venues so designated by the ruling body for the *Event*.

In-Competition: Unless provided otherwise in the rules of an International Federation or the ruling body of the *Event* in question, "*In-Competition*" means the period commencing twelve hours before a *Competition* in which the *Athlete* is scheduled to participate through the end of such *Competition* and the *Sample* collection process related to such *Competition*.

[Comment: An International Federation or ruling body for an Event may establish an "In-Competition" period that is different than the Event Period.]

Independent Observer Program: A team of observers, under the supervision of *WADA*, who observe and provide guidance on the *Doping Control* process at certain *Events* and report on their observations.

International Event: An *Event* or *Competition* where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a *Major Event Organization*, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the *Event* or appoints the technical officials for the *Event*.

International-Level Athlete: Athletes who compete in sport at the international level, as defined by each International Federation, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

[Comment: Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Federation is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify Athletes as International-Level Athletes, e.g., by ranking,

by participation in particular International Events, by type of license, etc. However, it must publish those criteria in clear and concise form, so that Athletes are able to ascertain quickly and easily when they will become classified as International-Level Athletes. For example, if the criteria include participation in certain International Events, then the International Federation must publish a list of those International Events.]

Minor: A natural *Person* who has not reached the age of eighteen years.

National Anti-Doping Organization: The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of *Samples*, the management of test results, and the conduct of hearings at the national level. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's *National Olympic Committee* or its designee.

National Event: A sport *Event* or *Competition* involving *International*- or *National-Level Athletes* that is not an *International Event*.

National-Level Athlete: Athletes who compete in sport at the national level, as defined by each *National Anti-Doping Organization*, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

National Olympic Committee: The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term *National Olympic Committee* shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical *National Olympic Committee* responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

Out-of-Competition: Any period which is not *In-Competition*.

Registered Testing Pool: The pool of highest-priority *Athletes* established separately at the international level by International Federations and at the national level by *National Anti-Doping Organizations*, who are subject to focused *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing* as part of that International Federation's or *National Anti-Doping Organization*'s test distribution plan and therefore are required to provide whereabouts information as provided in Article 5.6 and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Sample or Specimen: Any biological material collected for the purposes of *Doping Control*.

[Comment: It has sometimes been claimed that the collection of blood Samples violates the tenets of certain religious or cultural groups. It has been determined that there is no basis for any such claim.]

Signatories: Those entities signing the *Code* and agreeing to comply with the *Code*, as provided in Article 23.

Substantial Assistance: For purposes of Article 10.6.1, a *Person* providing *Substantial Assistance* must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement all information he or she possesses in relation to anti-doping rule violations, and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case related to that information, including, for example, presenting testimony at a hearing if requested to do so by an *Anti-Doping Organization* or hearing panel. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case which is initiated or, if no case is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis on which a case could have been brought.

Target Testing: Selection of specific *Athletes* for *Testing* based on criteria set forth in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Team Sport: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a *Competition*.

Testing: The parts of the *Doping Control* process involving test distribution planning, *Sample* collection, *Sample* handling, and *Sample* transport to the laboratory.

WADA: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

3.2 Defined terms specific to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations:

<u>Blood Collection Officer (or BCO)</u>: An official who is qualified and has been authorized by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> to collect a blood Sample from an Athlete.

<u>Chain of Custody</u>: The sequence of individuals or organizations who have responsibility for the custody of a *Sample* from the provision of the *Sample* until the *Sample* has been delivered to the laboratory for analysis.

<u>Chaperone</u>: An official who is trained and authorized by the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Authority</u> to carry out specific duties including one or more of the following (at the election of the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>): notification of

the *Athlete* selected for *Sample* collection; accompanying and observing the *Athlete* until arrival at the *Doping Control* Station; accompanying and/or observing *Athletes* who are present in the *Doping Control* Station; and/or witnessing and verifying the provision of the *Sample* where the training qualifies him/her to do so.

Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements: The whereabouts requirements set out in Annex I of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, which apply to *Athletes* who are included in the *Registered Testing Pool* of an International Federation or a *National Anti-Doping Organization*.

Doping Control Officer (or DCO): An official who has been trained and authorized by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> to carry out the responsibilities given to <u>DCOs</u> in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Doping Control Station: The location where the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> will be conducted.

Failure to Comply: A term used to describe anti-doping rule violations under *Code* Articles 2.3 and/or 2.5.

Filing Failure: A failure by the *Athlete* (or by a third party to whom the *Athlete* has delegated the task) to make an accurate and complete <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> that enables the *Athlete* to be located for *Testing* at the times and locations set out in the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> or to update that <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> where necessary to ensure that it remains accurate and complete, all in accordance with Article I.3 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

In-Competition Date: As defined in Article I.3.3.

Missed Test: A failure by the *Athlete* to be available for *Testing* at the location and time specified in the 60-minute time slot identified in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> for the day in question, in accordance with Article I.4 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

No Advance Notice *Testing*: *Sample* collection that takes place with no advance warning to the *Athlete* and where the *Athlete* is continuously chaperoned from the moment of notification through *Sample* provision.

Random Selection: Selection of *Athletes* for *Testing* which is not *Target Testing*.

<u>Results Management Authority</u>: The organization that is responsible, in accordance with *Code* Article 7.1, for the management of the results of

Testing (or other evidence of a potential anti-doping rule violation) and hearings, whether (1) an *Anti-Doping Organization* (for example, the International Olympic Committee or other *Major Event Organization*, *WADA*, an International Federation, or a *National Anti-Doping Organization*); or (2) another organization acting pursuant to the authority of and in accordance with the rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* (for example, a National Federation that is a member of an International Federation). In respect of <u>Whereabouts Failures</u>, the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall be as set out in Article I.5.1.

Sample Collection Authority: The organisation that is responsible for the collection of *Samples* in compliance with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, whether (1) the *Testing* Authority itself; or (2) another organization (for example, a third party contractor) to whom the *Testing* Authority has delegated or subcontracted such responsibility (provided that the *Testing* Authority always remains ultimately responsible under the *Code* for compliance with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations relating to collection of *Samples*).

Sample Collection Equipment: Containers or apparatus used to collect or hold the Sample at any time during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> shall, as a minimum, consist of:

- For urine *Sample* collection:
 - Collection vessels for collecting the *Sample* as it leaves the *Athlete's* body;
 - Suitable kit for storing partial *Samples* securely until the *Athlete* is able to provide more urine; and
 - Sealable and tamper-evident bottles and lids for storing and transporting the complete *Sample* securely.
- For blood *Sample* collection:
 - Needles for collecting the *Sample*;
 - Blood tubes with sealable and tamper-evident devices for storing and transporting the *Sample* securely.

Sample Collection Personnel: A collective term for qualified officials authorized by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> to carry out or assist with duties during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

Sample Collection Session: All of the sequential activities that directly involve the *Athlete* from the point that initial contact is made until the *Athlete* leaves the *Doping Control* Station after having provided his/her *Sample(s)*.

Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis: Specific gravity measured at 1.005 or higher with a refractometer, or 1.010 or higher with lab sticks.

Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis: A minimum of 90 mL, whether the laboratory will be analysing the *Sample* for all or only some *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods*.

Team Activity/Activities: Sporting activities carried out by *Athletes* on a collective basis as part of a team (e.g., training, travelling, tactical sessions) or under the supervision of the team (e.g., treatment by a team doctor).

Test Distribution Plan: A document written by an *Anti-Doping Organization* that plans *Testing* on *Athletes* over whom it has <u>Testing Authority</u>, in accordance with the requirements of Article 4 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Testing Authority: The organization that has authorized a particular Sample collection, whether (1) an Anti-Doping Organization (for example, the International Olympic Committee or other Major Event Organization, WADA, an International Federation, or a National Anti-Doping Organization); or (2) another organization conducting Testing pursuant to the authority of and in accordance with the rules of the Anti-Doping Organization (for example, a National Federation that is a member of an International Federation).

Unsuccessful Attempt Report: A detailed report of an unsuccessful attempt to collect a *Sample* from an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool*, setting out the date of the attempt, the location visited, the exact arrival and departure times at the location, the steps taken at the location to try to find the *Athlete* (including details of any contact made with third parties), and any other relevant details about the attempt.

Whereabouts Failure: A Filing Failure or a Missed Test.

Whereabouts Filing: Information provided by or on behalf of an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool* that sets out the *Athlete's* whereabouts during the following quarter, in accordance with Article I.3 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

3.3 Interpretation:

3.3.1 Unless otherwise specified, references below to Articles are references to Articles of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

3.3.2 The comments annotating various provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall be used to interpret the *International Standard*.

3.3.3 The Annexes to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations have the same mandatory status as the rest of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

3.3.4 The official text of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall be maintained by *WADA* and shall be published in English and French. In the event of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail.

PART TWO: STANDARDS FOR TESTING

4.0 Planning effective *Testing*

4.1 Objective

4.1.1 *Code* Article 5.4 requires each *Anti-Doping Organization* with <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> to plan and implement intelligent <u>Testing</u> that is proportionate to the risk of doping among *Athletes* under its jurisdiction, and that is effective to detect and to deter such practices. The objective of this Section 4.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to set out the steps that are necessary to produce a <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> that satisfies this requirement. This includes establishing the overall pool of *Athletes* within the *Anti-Doping Organization's* anti-doping program, and assessment of which *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* are most likely to be abused in the sport(s)/sports discipline(s) in question, followed by appropriate prioritization between sport(s) and/or sport disciplines, between categories of *Athletes*, between types of *Testing*, between types of *Sample* analysis.

4.1.2 The Anti-Doping Organization shall ensure that Athlete Support Personnel and any other Persons with a conflict of interest are not involved in Test Distribution Planning for their Athletes or in the process of selection of Athletes for Testing.

4.1.3 The Anti-Doping Organization shall document its <u>Test Distribution</u> <u>Plan</u> and shall file that <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> with WADA (a) when seeking WADA's approval pursuant to Code Article 6.4.2 to analyse Samples using a less extensive menu than that set out in the Technical Document referenced at Code Article 5.4.1, in accordance with Article 4.7.1 of this International Standard; and (b) where requested by WADA, as part of the process of demonstrating the Anti-Doping Organization's satisfaction of the requirements of Code Article 5.4.

4.1.4 The main activities are therefore risk assessment and prioritization, including information and intelligence gathering, monitoring and follow-up; developing a <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> based on that risk assessment and prioritization; filing and discussing that <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> with *WADA* (where applicable); monitoring, evaluating, reviewing, modifying and updating that <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> as necessary in light of changing circumstances; and implementing the <u>Test Distribution Plan</u>.

4.2 Risk assessment

4.2.1 As set out in *Code* Article 5.4, the starting point of the <u>Test</u> <u>Distribution Plan</u> must be a considered assessment, in good faith, of which *Prohibited Substances* and/or *Prohibited Methods* are most likely to be abused in the sport(s) and sport discipline(s) in question. This assessment should take into account (at a minimum) the following information:

- a) The physical and other demands of the relevant sport(s) (and/or discipline(s) within the sport(s)), considering in particular the physiological requirements of the sport(s)/sport discipline(s);
- b) The possible performance-enhancing effects that doping may elicit in such sport(s)/sport discipline(s);
- c) The rewards available at the different levels of the sport(s)/sport discipline(s) and/or other potential incentives for doping;
- d) The history of doping in the sport(s)/sport discipline(s);

[Comment to 4.2.1(d): Unless there has been a full and effective Testing program in a sport, encompassing both In- and Out-of-Competition Testing, a history of no or few Adverse Analytical Findings says little if anything about the risk of doping in that sport.]

- e) Available research on doping trends (e.g., peer-reviewed articles);
- f) Information received/intelligence developed on possible doping practices in the sport (e.g., *Athlete* testimony; information from criminal investigations; and/or other intelligence developed in accordance with WADA's Guidelines Coordinating for Anti-Doping Investigations and Sharing Information and Evidence)) in accordance with Section 11.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations; and
- g) The outcomes of previous test distribution planning cycles.

4.2.2 In developing its <u>Test Distribution Plan</u>, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall be bound by the Technical Document referenced in *Code* Article 5.4.1 and 6.4. Additionally, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall conduct its own risk assessment. It should take into account in good faith any risk assessment for the sport or discipline in question carried out by another *Anti-Doping Organization* with overlapping <u>Testing Authority</u>. However, an International Federation is not bound by a *National Anti-Doping Organization's* assessment of the risks of doping in a particular sport or discipline, and a *National Anti-*

Doping Organization is not bound by an International Federation's assessment of the risks of doping in a particular sport or discipline.

4.2.3 The Anti-Doping Organization shall also consider the potential doping patterns in its sport, nation or *Event* (as applicable). This shall include assessing matters such as:

- a) which Prohibited Substances and/or Prohibited Methods an Athlete would consider most likely to enhance performance in the relevant sport(s) or discipline(s);
- b) at what points in his/her career in the sport an *Athlete* would be most likely to consider obtaining such an illicit advantage; and
- c) given the structure of the season for the sport/discipline in question (including standard *Competition* schedules and training patterns), at what time(s) during the year an *Athlete* would be most likely to undertake doping practices.

4.2.4 All of the remaining steps to be taken in developing a <u>Test</u> <u>Distribution Plan</u> (as set out in the rest of this Section 4.0, below) are to be based on the risk assessment set out in this Article 4.2. The *Anti-Doping Organization* must be able to demonstrate to *WADA's* satisfaction that it has made a proper assessment of the relevant risks and has adopted an appropriate <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> based on the results of that assessment.

4.2.5 Test Distribution Planning is intended to be an ongoing process, not a static one. The *Anti-Doping Organization* shall review the <u>Test Distribution</u> <u>Plan</u> regularly and shall adapt it as necessary to reflect new information gathered and intelligence developed by the *Anti-Doping Organization*, and to take into account *Testing* conducted by other *Anti-Doping Organizations*. However, any revision to the risk assessment set out in the Technical Document referenced in *Code* Article 5.4.1 would have to be agreed by *WADA*.

4.3 Establishing the overall pool of *Athletes*

4.3.1 *Code* Article 5.2 gives different *Anti-Doping Organizations <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> over potentially very large pools of sportsmen and women. However, in recognition of the finite resources of <i>Anti-Doping Organizations*, the *Code* definition of *"Athlete"* allows *National Anti-Doping Organizations* to limit the number of sportsmen and women who will be subject to their national anti-doping programs (in particular, *Testing*) to those who compete at the highest national levels (i.e., *National-Level Athletes*, as defined by the *National Anti-Doping Organization*). It also allows International Federations to focus their anti-doping programs (including *Testing*) on those who

compete regularly at the international level (i.e., *International-Level Athletes*, as defined by the International Federation).

[Comment to 4.3.1: Nothing prevents an International Federation from Testing an Athlete under its jurisdiction who is not an International-Level Athlete, if it sees fit, e.g., where he/she is competing in an International Event. Furthermore, as set out in the Code definition of "Athlete", a National Anti-Doping Organization may decide to extend its anti-doping program (including Testing) to sportsmen and women who compete below national level. However, the main focus of an International Federation's <u>Test</u> <u>Distribution Plan</u> should be International-Level Athletes, and the main focus of a National Anti-Doping Organization's <u>Test</u> Distribution Plan should be National-Level Athletes and above.]

4.3.2 Therefore, once the risk assessment described in Article 4.2 is completed, the next step is to establish the overall pool of *Athletes* who are in principle going to be subject to *Testing* by the *Anti-Doping Organization* in question, i.e. (for an International Federation) fixing an appropriate definition of *International-Level Athlete*, or (for a *National Anti-Doping Organization*) fixing an appropriate definition of *National-Level Athlete*:

a) An International Federation is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify *Athletes* as *International-Level Athletes*, e.g., by ranking, by participation in particular *International Events*, etc. It should make that determination in good faith, in accordance with its responsibility to protect the integrity of the sport at the international level (the showcase of the sport to the public), by fixing a definition that encompasses all those who compete regularly at international level and/or who compete at a standard at which world records may be set.

[Comment to 4.3.2(a): The Code requires each International Federation to publish in clear and concise form the criteria it uses to classify Athletes as International-Level Athletes, so that it is clear to everyone where the line is drawn and how particular Athletes are to be classified. For example, if the criteria include competing in certain International Events, then the International Federation must publish a list of those International Events.]

> b) Similarly, a *National Anti-Doping Organization* is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify *Athletes* as *National-Level Athletes*. Again, it should make that determination in good faith, in accordance with its responsibility to protect the integrity of the sport at the national level (the source of national pride in different sports, and the stepping stone to international *Competition*, including representation of the nation in *International Events or Competitions*). Consequently, the definition should normally

encompass all those who compete at the highest levels of national *Competition* in the sport in question (i.e., in national championships or other *Events* that determine or count towards determining who are the best in the country in the category/discipline in question, and/or who should be selected to represent the country in *International Events* or *Competitions*). It should also include those nationals of its country who generally or often compete at international level and/or in *International Events* or *Competitions* (rather than at national level) but who are not classified as *International-Level Athletes* by their International Federation.

4.4 **Prioritizing between sports and/or disciplines**

4.4.1 Next, the *Anti-Doping Organization* should consider whether there are any factors warranting allocating *Testing* resources to one sport or discipline or nation (as applicable) under its jurisdiction in priority to others. This means:

- a) In the case of an International Federation, assessing the relative risks of doping as between the different disciplines and nations within its sport.
- b) In the case of a *National Anti-Doping Organization*, assessing the relative risks of doping as between the different sports under its jurisdiction, as well as any national anti-doping policy imperatives that may lead it to prioritize certain sports over others.

[Comment to 4.4.1(b): National Anti-Doping Organizations will have varying national policy requirements and priorities. For example, one National Anti-Doping Organization may have legitimate reasons to prioritize (some or all) Olympic sports while another may have legitimate reasons, because of different characteristics of that sporting nation, to prioritize (for example) certain other 'national' sports. These policy imperatives are a relevant consideration in the National Anti-Doping Organization's Test Distribution Planning, alongside its assessment of the relative risks of doping in the various sports played within its national jurisdiction. They may lead, for example, to a National Anti-Doping Organization deciding, in its <u>Test</u> <u>Distribution Plan</u> for a particular period, (1) to allocate Testing to some sports within its jurisdiction but not others; and (2) to prioritize certain sports over others due not to a greater risk of doping in those sports but to a greater national interest in ensuring the integrity of those sports.]

c) In the case of a *Major Event Organization*, assessing the relative risks of doping as between the different sports and/or disciplines involved in its *Event*.

4.4.2 Another factor relevant to the allocation of *Testing* resources within the <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> will be the number of *Athletes* involved at the relevant level in the sport(s) and/or discipline(s) and/or nation(s) in question. Where the risk of doping is assessed to be equal as between two different sports or disciplines or nations, more resources should be devoted to the sport or discipline or nation involving the larger number of *Athletes*.

4.5 **Prioritizing between different** *Athletes*

4.5.1 Once the overall pool of *Athletes* has been established (see Article 4.3), and the priority sports/disciplines/nations have been established (see Article 4.4), an intelligent <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> uses *Target Testing* to focus *Testing* resources where they are most needed within the overall pool of *Athletes. Target Testing* shall therefore be made a priority, i.e., a significant amount of the *Testing* undertaken as part of an *Anti-Doping Organization's* <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> shall be *Target Testing* of *Athletes* within its overall pool.

[Comment to 4.5.1: Target Testing is a priority because random Testing, or even weighted random Testing, does not ensure that all of the appropriate Athletes will be tested enough. The World Anti-Doping Code does not impose any reasonable suspicion or probable cause requirement for Target Testing. However, Target Testing should not be used for any purpose other than legitimate Doping Control.]

4.5.2 *Anti-Doping Organizations* shall consider conducting *Target Testing* on the following categories of *Athletes*:

- a) For International Federations, *Athletes* (especially from its priority disciplines or nations) who compete regularly at the highest level of international *Competition* (e.g., candidates for Olympic, Paralympic or World Championship medals), as determined by rankings or other suitable criteria.
- b) For *National Anti-Doping Organizations*, the following *Athletes* from its priority sports:
 - (i) Athletes who are part of national teams in Olympic or Paralympic or other sports of high national priority (or who might be selected for such teams);
 - (ii) *Athletes* who train independently but perform at Olympic/Paralympic or World Championship level and may be selected for such events;
 - (iii) Athletes in receipt of public funding; and

- (iv) high-level *Athletes* who are nationals of other countries but who are present (whether residing, training, competing or otherwise) within the *National Anti-Doping Organization's* country.
- c) For all Anti-Doping Organizations with relevant <u>Testing Authority</u>:
 - (i) *Athletes* serving a period of *Ineligibility* or a *Provisional Suspension*; and
 - (ii) *Athletes* who were high priority for *Testing* before they retired from the sport and who now wish to return from retirement to active participation in the sport.

4.5.3 Other factors relevant to determining who should be made the subject of *Target Testing* may vary considerably from sport to sport, depending on the specific characteristics of the particular sport. However, the relevant factors are likely to include some or all of the following *Athlete* behaviours/factors indicating possible doping/increased risk of doping:

- a) prior anti-doping rule violations/test history, including any abnormal biological parameters (blood parameters, steroid profiles, etc);
- b) sport performance history, including in particular sudden major improvements in performance, and/or sustained high performance without a commensurate *Testing* record;
- c) repeated Failure to Comply with whereabouts requirements;
- d) suspicious <u>whereabouts filing</u> patterns (e.g., last-minute updates of <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>);
- e) moving to or training in a remote location;
- f) withdrawal or absence from expected *Competition*;
- g) association with a third party (such as a team-mate, coach or doctor) with a history of involvement in doping;
- h) injury;
- i) age/stage of career (e.g., move from junior to senior level, nearing end of contract, approaching retirement);
- j) financial incentives for improved performance, such as prize money or sponsorship opportunities; and/or

 k) reliable information from a third party, or intelligence developed by or shared with the *Anti-Doping Organization* in accordance with Section 11.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

4.5.4 *Testing* which is not *Target Testing* shall be determined by <u>Random</u> <u>Selection</u>, which shall be conducted using a documented system for such selection. <u>Random Selection</u> may be either completely random (where no pre-determined criteria are considered, and *Athletes* are chosen arbitrarily from a list or pool of *Athlete* names), or weighted (where *Athletes* are ranked using pre-determined criteria in order to increase or decrease the chances of selection). <u>Random Selection</u> that is weighted shall be conducted according to defined criteria, and may take into account the factors listed in Article 4.5.3 (as applicable) in order to ensure that a greater percentage of 'at risk' *Athletes* is selected.

[Comment to 4.5.4: In addition to detecting doping, Testing by <u>Random</u> <u>Selection</u> can play an important deterrent role, as well as helping to protect the integrity of an Event.]

4.5.5 For the avoidance of doubt, notwithstanding the development of criteria for selection of *Athletes* for *Testing*, and in particular for *Target Testing* of *Athletes*, as well as the fact that as a general rule *Testing* should take place between 5 a.m. and 11 p.m. unless valid grounds exist for *Testing* overnight, the fundamental principle remains (as set out in *Code* Article 5.2) that an *Athlete* may be required to provide a *Sample* at any time and at any place by any *Anti-Doping Organization* with *Testing* Authority over him/her, whether or not the selection of the *Athlete* for *Testing* is in accordance with such criteria. Accordingly, an *Athlete* may not refuse to submit to *Sample* collection on the basis that such *Testing* is not provided for in the *Anti-Doping Organization's* <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> and/or is not being conducted between 5 a.m. and 11 p.m., and/or that the *Athlete* does not meet the relevant selection criteria for *Testing* or otherwise should not have been selected for *Testing*.

4.6 **Prioritizing between different types of** *Testing*

4.6.1 Based on the risk assessment and prioritization process described in Articles 4.2 to 4.5, the *Anti-Doping Organization* must determine to what extent each of the following types of *Testing* is required in order to detect and deter doping practices within the relevant sport(s), discipline(s) and/or nation(s) intelligently and effectively:

a) In-Competition Testing and Out-of-Competition Testing;

- In sports and/or disciplines that are assessed as having a high risk of doping during *Out-of-Competition* periods, *Out-of-Competition Testing* shall be made a priority, and a significant portion of the available *Testing* shall be conducted *Out-of-Competition*. However, some material amount of *In-Competition Testing* shall still take place.
- In sports and/or disciplines that are assessed as having a low ii) risk of doping during Out-of-Competition periods (i.e., where it can be clearly shown that doping while Out-of-Competition is unlikely to enhance performance or provide other illicit advantages), In-Competition Testing shall be made a priority, and a substantial portion of the available *Testing* shall be conducted In-Competition. However, some Out-of-Competition Testing shall still take place, proportionate to doping Out-of-Competition the risk of in such sport/discipline. Very exceptionally, i.e., in the small number of sports and/or disciplines where it is determined in good faith that there is no material risk of doping during Out-of-Competition periods, there may be no Out-of-Competition Testing.
- b) *Testing* of urine;
- c) *Testing* of blood; and
- d) *Testing* involving longitudinal profiling, i.e., the *Athlete Biological Passport* program.

4.6.2 Save in exceptional and justifiable circumstances, all *Testing* shall be <u>No Advance Notice *Testing*</u>:

- a) For *In-Competition Testing*, placeholder selection may be known in advance. However, random *Athlete*/placeholder selection shall not be revealed to the *Athlete* until notification.
- b) All *Out-of-Competition Testing* shall be <u>No Advance Notice *Testing*</u> save in exceptional and justifiable circumstances.

4.6.3 In order to ensure that *Testing* is conducted on a <u>No Advance Notice</u> <u>*Testing*</u> basis, the <u>*Testing* Authority</u> (and the <u>*Sample* Collection Authority</u>, if different) shall ensure that *Athlete* selection decisions are only disclosed in advance of *Testing* to those who need to know in order for such *Testing* to be conducted.

4.7 *Sample* analysis

4.7.1 Anti-Doping Organizations shall ask laboratories to analyze the Samples they have collected in a manner that is tailored to the particular circumstances of the sport/discipline/country in question. In accordance with Code Article 6.4, the starting-point is that Anti-Doping Organizations shall have all Samples collected on their behalf analyzed in accordance with the Sample analysis menus specified in the Technical Document referenced at Code Article 5.4.1; but (a) they may always ask laboratories to analyze their Samples using more extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document; and (b) they may also ask laboratories to analyze some or all of their Samples using less extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document where they have satisfied WADA that, because of the particular circumstances of their sport or discipline or nation (as applicable), as set out in the Test Distribution Plan, less extensive analysis would be appropriate.

4.7.2 *WADA* will approve the analysis of *Samples* for less than the *Sample* analysis menu specified in the Technical Document where it is satisfied that such an approach will lead to the most intelligent, effective and efficient use of available *Testing* resources.

4.7.3 The Anti-Doping Organization shall incorporate into its <u>Test</u> <u>Distribution Plan</u> a strategy for retention of *Samples* and the documentation relating to the collection of such *Samples* so as to enable the further analysis of such *Samples* at a later date in accordance with *Code* Article 6.5. Such strategy shall comply with the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories and the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information, and shall take into account the purposes of analysis of *Samples* set out in *Code* Article 6.2, as well as (without limitation) the following elements:

- a) Laboratory recommendations;
- b) The possible need for retroactive analysis in connection with the *Athlete Biological Passport* program;
- c) New detection methods to be introduced in the near future relevant to the *Athlete*, sport and/or discipline; and/or
- d) *Samples* collected from *Athletes* meeting some or all of the 'high risk' criteria set out at Article 4.5.

4.8 Collecting whereabouts information

4.8.1 Whereabouts information is not an end in itself, but rather simply a means to an end, namely the efficient and effective conduct of <u>No Advance</u> <u>Notice Testing</u>. Therefore, where an <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u> has determined that it needs to conduct <u>Testing</u> (including <u>Out-of-Competition</u> <u>Testing</u>) on particular <u>Athletes</u>, it must then consider how much information it needs about the whereabouts of those <u>Athletes</u> in order to conduct that <u>Testing</u> effectively and with no advance notice. The <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u> must collect all of the whereabouts information that it needs to conduct the <u>Testing</u> identified in its <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> effectively and efficiently. It must not collect more whereabouts information than it needs for that purpose.

[Comment to 4.8.1: In accordance with Code Article 5.6, whereabouts information collected by an Anti-Doping Organization may be used for planning, coordinating or conducting Doping Control, providing information relevant to the Athlete Biological Passport or other analytical results, to support an investigation into a potential anti-doping rule violation, and/or to support proceedings alleging an anti-doping rule violation. In addition, the collection of whereabouts information can have a useful deterrent effect.]

4.8.2 One consideration is whether the whereabouts information has to be provided by the *Athlete*, or alternatively whether it can be obtained from other sources. For example, where *Competition* and/or training in a sport is organized and carried out on a collective basis rather than on an individual basis, involving <u>Team Activities</u>, an International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* may (in its absolute discretion) decide that it is sufficient to collect whereabouts information from the *Athlete's* team during such periods of <u>Team Activity</u>, without requiring the *Athlete* to provide further information for those periods. In such cases, however, in periods where there are no <u>Team Activities</u>, then the *Athlete* may be required to provide more individualized whereabouts to enable <u>No Advance Notice Testing</u> of the *Athlete* during these periods.

4.8.3 The Anti-Doping Organization may determine that it needs more whereabouts information in respect of certain categories of Athletes than others. It should consider adopting a 'pyramid approach', based on the risk assessment and prioritizing exercises set out at Articles 4.2-4.5. According to this approach, Athletes are put into different tiers, depending on the priority that is placed on Testing those Athletes. The Anti-Doping Organization should determine, in the case of each tier of Athletes, how much whereabouts information it needs in order to conduct the amount of Testing allocated to those Athletes in the Test Distribution Plan effectively and efficiently.

[Comment to 4.8.3: For example, the Anti-Doping Organization may identify in its Test Distribution Plan a pyramid of different tiers of Athletes, with (i) a tier at the bottom for those Athletes from whom little or no whereabouts information is required to find them for the Testing allocated to them in the Test Distribution Plan, (ii) further tiers above that (containing Athletes from whom more whereabouts information is required, because there is little information available from other sources to find them for Testing, including Out-of-Competition Testing), and (iii) a top tier of Athletes from whom the greatest amount of whereabouts information is required, because they are likely to be selected for the greatest amount of Testing (including Out-of-Competition Testing) and there is insufficient whereabouts information available for them from other sources to locate them for that Testing. The top tier of Athletes should contain high-profile Athletes (e.g., contenders for national and/or international honours), Athletes in an Athlete Biological Passport program, and Athletes at the highest risk of doping: see Article 4.5. In accordance with Article 4.8.4, this top tier of Athletes must be put into a Registered Testing Pool (so as to trigger the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements) unless the Anti-Doping Organization is clearly able to obtain sufficient whereabouts information about such Athletes by other means.

This discretion is designed in particular to give Anti-Doping Organizations the flexibility to maintain pools of Athletes from whom some whereabouts information is obtained, which may not meet the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements but which is nevertheless useful information that can be used to increase the effectiveness of the Anti-Doping Organization's Testing program. For example, an International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization may decide that it needs to conduct a certain amount of Out-of-Competition Testing on a particular category of Athletes in a sport where competition and/or training is organized and carried out on a team basis rather than an individual basis, but that it can conduct that Testing effectively and on a No Advance Notice Testing basis by using information that is made available to it about the movements of the Athletes as part of their team, participating in <u>Team Activities</u>. However, if that team information is not sufficient to conduct the Testing required of such Athletes effectively and on a No Advance Notice Testing basis, and instead to conduct that Testing it is necessary to require the Athletes to comply with the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements, then the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization must put the Athletes into its Registered Testing Pool.

If an Athlete in the tier below the Registered Testing Pool fails to comply with the whereabouts requirements applicable to his/her tier of Athletes, the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization in question should consider moving the Athlete up into the Registered Testing Pool.] 4.8.4 Where an International Federation or a *National Anti-Doping Organization* plans to collect three or more *Samples* per year *Out-of-Competition* from particular *Athletes*, it shall put them into a *Registered Testing Pool* (so that they are required to comply with the <u>Code Article 2.4</u> <u>Whereabouts Requirements</u>) unless it is clearly able to obtain sufficient whereabouts information to conduct <u>No Advance Notice Testing</u> efficiently and effectively by some other means.

[Comment to 4.8.4: Each International Federation and each National Anti-Doping Organization has discretion to determine, independently of the other, (a) how much Out-of-Competition Testing it needs to conduct in respect of the sport(s) under its jurisdiction; and (b) whether the Athletes on whom it decides to conduct that Testing need to comply with the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements in order to conduct the planned Testing on them effectively and efficiently and on a No Advance Notice Testing basis, or alternatively whether sufficient whereabouts information is available by other means to conduct such Testing, so that subjecting the Athletes in question to the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements is unnecessary. The Anti-Doping Organization must be able to demonstrate it has made a proper assessment of the relevant risks and of the necessary prioritization in accordance with Articles 4.2 to 4.5, and that it has adopted appropriate criteria based on the results of that assessment. In particular, an Anti-Doping Organization whose Test Distribution Plan includes Testing during Out-of-Competition periods must have a Registered Testing Pool of Athletes who are required to comply with the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements unless it can demonstrate that it is able to find those Athletes for No Advance Notice Testing during all Out-of-Competition periods without requiring compliance with the Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements. In any event, however, there should not be more Athletes in a Registered Testing Pool than the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization in question plans (on its own or in agreed coordination with other Anti-Doping Organizations with <u>Testing Authority</u> over those Athletes) to test Outof-Competition at least three times a year.]

4.8.5 Anti-Doping Organizations with <u>Testing Authority</u> over an Athlete in a Registered Testing Pool should conduct Out-of-Competition Testing on that Athlete using the whereabouts information provided by the Athlete in accordance with the <u>Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements</u>. Any such Athlete who fails three times in any 12-month period to provide the required information about his/her whereabouts (a <u>Filing Failure</u>) and/or to be available for Testing at such whereabouts (a <u>Missed Test</u>) shall be liable for an anti-doping rule violation under Code Article 2.4.

4.8.6 Where *ADAMS* is used to collect whereabouts information from *Athletes* in the *Registered Testing Pool*, then the names of those *Athletes* will automatically be available to *WADA* and other relevant *Anti-Doping*

Organizations, as required under Code Article 5.6. Otherwise, however, to comply with *Code* Article 5.6, each International Federation and each National Anti-Doping Organization must make the criteria that it uses to determine which Athletes should be in its Registered Testing Pool, and/or a list of the Athletes meeting those criteria and so included in its Registered WADA, Testing Pool, available in writing to the International Federation/National Anti-Doping Organization (as applicable), and other Anti-Doping Organizations who have <u>Testing Authority</u> over those Athletes.

[Comment to 4.8.6: There is no requirement that a National Anti-Doping Organization must include in its Registered Testing Pool those Athletes under its jurisdiction who are included in their <u>International Federation's</u> Registered Testing Pool, or vice versa. In no event, however, may an Athlete be required to file different sets of whereabouts information with different Anti-Doping Organizations. Instead, if an Athlete is in one tier for his/her International Federation and another tier for his/her National Anti-Doping Organization, he/she shall comply with whichever tier has the greater whereabouts requirements, and all Anti-Doping Organizations with <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> over him/her may access that information in order to locate him/her for Testing.]

4.8.7 Each International Federation and each *National Anti-Doping Organization* shall regularly review and update as necessary its criteria for including *Athletes* in its *Registered Testing Pool*, to ensure that they remain fit for purpose, i.e., they are capturing all appropriate *Athletes*. It should take into account the *Competition* calendar for the relevant period. For example, it may be appropriate to change or increase the number of *Athletes* in the *Registered Testing Pool* in the lead-up to an Olympic or Paralympic Games or a World Championship.

4.8.8 In addition, each International Federation and *National Anti-Doping Organization* shall periodically (but no less than quarterly) review the list of *Athletes* in its *Registered Testing Pool* to ensure that each listed *Athlete* continues to meet the relevant criteria. *Athletes* who no longer meet the criteria should be removed from the *Registered Testing Pool* and *Athletes* who now meet the criteria should be added to the *Registered Testing Pool*. The *Anti-Doping Organization* must advise such *Athletes* of the change in their status, and make a new list of *Athletes* in the *Registered Testing Pool* available in accordance with Article 4.8.6, without delay.

4.8.9 For periods when *Athletes* come under the <u>Testing</u> Authority of a *Major Event Organization*:

a) if they are in a *Registered Testing Pool* then the *Major Event Organization* may access their <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> for the relevant period in order to conduct *Testing* on them;

b) if they are not in a *Registered Testing Pool* then the *Major Event Organization* may adopt *Event*-specific rules requiring them to provide such information about their whereabouts for the relevant period as it deems necessary and proportionate in order to conduct *Testing* on them.

4.9 **Co-ordinating with other** *Anti-Doping Organizations*

4.9.1 *Anti-Doping Organizations* shall coordinate their *Testing* efforts with the efforts of other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with overlapping <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u>, in order to maximise the effectiveness of those combined efforts and to avoid unnecessarily repetitive *Testing* of particular *Athletes*. In particular:

- a) Anti-Doping Organizations shall consult with other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations in order to coordinate Testing activities and to avoid duplication. Clear agreement on roles and responsibilities for Event Testing shall be agreed in advance in accordance with Code Article 5.3. Where such agreement is not possible, WADA will resolve the matter in accordance with the principles set out at Annex J – Event Testing.
- b) Anti-Doping Organizations shall, without any unnecessary delay, share information on their completed *Testing* with other relevant *Anti-Doping Organizations*, via *ADAMS* or any other system approved by *WADA*.

4.9.2 Anti-Doping Organizations may contract other Anti-Doping Organizations or third parties to act as <u>Sample Collection Authorities</u> on their behalf. In the terms of the contract, the commissioning Anti-Doping Organization (which, for these purposes, is the <u>Testing Authority</u>) may specify how any discretion afforded to a <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> under the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to be exercised by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> when collecting Samples on its behalf.

[Comment to 4.9.2: For example, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations confers discretion as to the criteria to be used to validate the identity of the Athlete (Article 5.3.4), as to the circumstances in which delayed reporting to the <u>Doping Control Station</u> may be permitted (Article 5.4.4), as to the criteria to be used to ensure that each Sample collected is stored in a manner that protects its integrity, identity and security prior to transport from the <u>Doping Control Station</u> (Article 8.3.1), as to who may be present during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> (Article 6.3.3), and as to the guidelines to be followed by the <u>DCO</u> in determining whether exceptional circumstances exist that mean a <u>Sample Collection Session</u> should be *abandoned without collecting a Sample with a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for</u> <u>Analysis</u> (Article G.4.6).]*

4.9.3 *Anti-Doping Organizations* should consult and coordinate with each other, with *WADA*, and with law enforcement and other relevant authorities, in obtaining, developing and sharing information and intelligence that can be useful in informing <u>Test Distribution Planning</u>, in accordance with Section 11.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

5.0 Notification of *Athletes*

5.1 Objective

The objective is to ensure that an *Athlete* who has been selected for *Testing* is properly notified of *Sample* collection as outlined in Article 5.4.1, that the rights of the *Athlete* are maintained, that there are no opportunities to manipulate the *Sample* to be provided, and that the notification is documented.

5.2 General

Notification of *Athletes* starts when the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> initiates the notification of the selected *Athlete* and ends when the *Athlete* arrives at the <u>Doping Control Station</u> or when the *Athlete's* possible <u>Failure to Comply</u> is brought to the <u>Testing Authority's</u> attention. The main activities are:

- a) Appointment of <u>DCOs</u>, <u>Chaperones</u> and other <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Personnel</u>;
- b) Locating the *Athlete* and confirming his/her identity;
- c) Informing the *Athlete* that he/she has been selected to provide a *Sample* and of his/her rights and responsibilities;
- d) For <u>No Advance Notice *Testing*</u>, continuously chaperoning the *Athlete* from the time of notification to the arrival at the designated <u>Doping Control Station</u>; and
- e) Documenting the notification, or notification attempt.

5.3 **Requirements prior to notification of** *Athletes*

5.3.1 Save in exceptional and justifiable circumstances, <u>No Advance Notice</u> *Testing* shall be the method for *Sample* collection.

[Comment to 5.3.1: It is not justifiable for a National Federation or other body to insist that it be given advance notice of Testing of Athletes under its jurisdiction so that it can have a representative present at such Testing.]

5.3.2 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall appoint and authorise <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u> to conduct or assist with <u>Sample Collection Sessions</u> who have been trained for their assigned responsibilities, who do not have a conflict of interest in the outcome of the <u>Sample</u> collection, and who are not *Minors*.

5.3.3 <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> shall have official documentation, provided by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>, evidencing their authority to collect a <u>Sample</u> from the <u>Athlete</u>, such as an authorisation letter from the <u>Testing Authority</u>. <u>DCOs</u> shall also carry complementary identification which includes their name and photograph (i.e., identification card from the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>, driver's licence, health card, passport or similar valid identification) and the expiry date of the identification.

5.3.4 The <u>Testing Authority</u> or otherwise the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish criteria to validate the identity of an <u>Athlete</u> selected to provide a <u>Sample</u>. This ensures the selected <u>Athlete</u> is the <u>Athlete</u> who is notified. The method of identification of the <u>Athlete</u> shall be documented on the <u>Doping Control</u> form.

5.3.5 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>, <u>DCO</u> or <u>Chaperone</u>, as applicable, shall establish the location of the selected *Athlete* and plan the approach and timing of notification, taking into consideration the specific circumstances of the sport/*Competition*/training session/etc. and the situation in question.

5.3.6 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish a system for the detailed recording of *Athlete* notification attempt(s) and outcome(s).

5.3.7 The *Athlete* shall be the first person notified that he/she has been selected for *Sample* collection, except where prior contact with a third party is required as specified in Article 5.3.8.

5.3.8 The <u>Sample Collection Authority/DCO/Chaperone</u>, as applicable, shall consider whether a third party is required to be notified prior to notification of the *Athlete*, when the *Athlete* is a *Minor* (as provided for in Annex C – Modifications for *Athletes* who are *Minors*), or where required by an *Athlete's* impairment (as provided for in Annex B - Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments), or in situations where an interpreter is required and available for the notification.

[Comment to 5.3.8: In the case of In-Competition Testing, it is permissible to notify third parties that Testing of Minors or Athletes with impairments will be conducted, where required to help the <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> to

identify the Athlete(s) to be tested and to notify such Athlete(s) that he/she is required to provide a Sample. However, there is no requirement to notify any third party (e.g., a team doctor) of the Doping Control mission where such assistance is not needed. Any third party notification must be conducted in a secure and confidential manner so that there is no risk that the Athlete will receive any advance notice of his/her selection for Sample collection. Generally it should occur at the end of the Competition in which the Athlete is competing or as close as possible to the end.]

5.4 **Requirements for notification of** *Athletes*

5.4.1 When initial contact is made, the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>, <u>DCO</u> or <u>Chaperone</u>, as applicable, shall ensure that the *Athlete* and/or a third party (if required in accordance with Article 5.3.8) is informed:

- a) That the *Athlete* is required to undergo a *Sample* collection;
- b) Of the authority under which the *Sample* collection is to be conducted;
- c) Of the type of *Sample* collection and any conditions that need to be adhered to prior to the *Sample* collection;
- d) Of the *Athlete's* rights, including the right to:
 - i. Have a representative and, if available, an interpreter accompany him/her, in accordance with Article 6.3.3(a);
 - ii. Ask for additional information about the *Sample* collection process;
 - iii. Request a delay in reporting to the *Doping Control* Station for valid reasons; and
 - iv. Request modifications as provided for in Annex B Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments.
- e) Of the *Athlete's* responsibilities, including the requirement to:

i. Remain within direct observation of the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> at all times from the point initial contact is made by the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> until the completion of the *Sample* collection procedure;

ii. Produce identification in accordance with Article 5.3.4;

- iii. Comply with Sample collection procedures (and the Athlete should be advised of the possible Consequences of Failure to Comply); and
- iv. Report immediately for *Sample* collection, unless there are valid reasons for a delay, as determined in accordance with Article 5.4.4.
- f) Of the location of the *Doping Control* Station;
- g) That should the *Athlete* choose to consume food or fluids prior to providing a *Sample*, he/she does so at his/her own risk;
- h) Not to hydrate excessively, since this may delay the production of a suitable *Sample*; and
- i) That any urine *Sample* provided by the *Athlete* to the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u> should be the first urine passed by the *Athlete* subsequent to notification, i.e., he/she should not pass urine in the shower or otherwise prior to providing a *Sample* to the <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u>.
- 5.4.2 When contact is made, the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> shall:
 - a) From the time of such contact until the *Athlete* leaves the <u>Doping</u> <u>Control Station</u> at the end of his/her <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, keep the *Athlete* under observation at all times;
 - b) Identify themselves to the *Athlete* using the documentation referred to in Article 5.3.3; and
 - c) Confirm the Athlete's identity as per the criteria established in Article 5.3.4. Confirmation of the Athlete's identity by any other method, or failure to confirm the identity of the Athlete, shall be documented and reported to the <u>Testing Authority</u>. In cases where the Athlete's identity cannot be confirmed as per the criteria established in Article 5.3.4, the <u>Testing Authority</u> shall decide whether it is appropriate to follow up in accordance with Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

5.4.3 The <u>Chaperone/DCO</u> shall have the *Athlete* sign an appropriate form to acknowledge and accept the notification. If the *Athlete* refuses to sign that he/she has been notified, or evades the notification, the <u>Chaperone/DCO</u> shall, if possible, inform the *Athlete* of the *Consequences* of refusing or failing to comply, and the <u>Chaperone</u> (if not the <u>DCO</u>) shall immediately report all relevant facts to the <u>DCO</u>. When possible the <u>DCO</u> shall continue to collect a *Sample*. The <u>DCO</u> shall document the facts in a detailed report and report the

circumstances to the <u>Testing Authority</u>. The <u>Testing Authority</u> shall follow the steps prescribed in Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

5.4.4 The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> may at his/her discretion consider any reasonable third party request or any request by the *Athlete* for permission to delay reporting to the <u>Doping Control Station</u> following acknowledgment and acceptance of notification, and/or to leave the <u>Doping Control Station</u> temporarily after arrival, and may grant such permission if the *Athlete* can be continuously chaperoned and kept under direct observation during the delay. For example, delayed reporting to/temporary departure from the <u>Doping Control Station</u> may be permitted for the following activities:

- a) For *In-Competition Testing*:
 - i) Participation in a presentation ceremony;
 - ii) Fulfilment of media commitments;
 - iii) Competing in further *Competitions*;
 - iv) Performing a warm down;
 - v) Obtaining necessary medical treatment;
 - vi) Locating a representative and/or interpreter;
 - vii) Obtaining photo identification; or
 - viii) Any other reasonable circumstances, as determined by the <u>DCO</u>, taking into account any instructions of the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u>.
- b) For Out-of-Competition Testing:
 - i) Locating a representative;
 - ii) Completing a training session;
 - iii) Receiving necessary medical treatment;
 - iv) Obtaining photo identification; or
 - v) Any other reasonable circumstances, as determined by the <u>DCO</u>, taking into account any instructions of the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u>.

5.4.5 The <u>DCO</u> or other authorised <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> shall document any reasons for delay in reporting to the <u>Doping Control Station</u> and/or reasons for leaving the <u>Doping Control Station</u> that may require further investigation by the <u>Testing Authority</u>. Any failure of the <u>Athlete</u> to remain under constant observation should also be recorded.

5.4.6 A <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> shall reject a request for delay from an *Athlete* if it will not be possible for the *Athlete* to be continuously observed during such delay.

5.4.7 If the *Athlete* delays reporting to the <u>Doping Control Station</u> other than in accordance with Article 5.4.4 but arrives prior to the <u>DCO</u>'s departure, the <u>DCO</u> shall decide whether to process a possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>. If at all possible the <u>DCO</u> shall proceed with collecting a *Sample*, and shall document the details of the *Athlete's* delay in reporting to the <u>Doping</u> <u>Control Station</u>.

5.4.8 If <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> observe any matter with potential to compromise the collection of the *Sample*, the circumstances shall be reported to and documented by the <u>DCO</u>. If deemed appropriate by the <u>DCO</u>, the <u>DCO</u> shall follow the requirements of Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to</u> <u>Comply</u>, and/or consider if it is appropriate to collect an additional *Sample* from the *Athlete*.

6.0 Preparing for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>

6.1 Objective

To prepare for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in a manner that ensures that the session can be conducted efficiently and effectively.

6.2 General

Preparing for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> starts with the establishment of a system for obtaining relevant information for effective conduct of the session and ends when it is confirmed that the <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> conforms to the specified criteria. The main activities are:

- a) Establishing a system for collecting details regarding the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session;</u>
- b) Establishing criteria for who may be present during a <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session;</u>

- c) Ensuring that the *Doping Control* Station meets the minimum criteria prescribed in Article 6.3.2; and
- d) Ensuring that the <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> meets the minimum criteria prescribed in Article 6.3.4.

6.3 Requirements for preparing for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>

6.3.1 The <u>Testing Authority</u> or otherwise the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish a system for obtaining all the information necessary to ensure that the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> can be conducted effectively, including identifying special requirements to meet the needs of <u>Athletes</u> with impairments (as provided in Annex B – Modifications for <u>Athletes</u> with Impairments) as well as the needs of <u>Athletes</u> who are <u>Minors</u> (as provided in Annex C – Modifications for <u>Athletes</u> who are <u>Minors</u>).

6.3.2 The <u>DCO</u> shall use a <u>Doping Control Station</u> which, at a minimum, ensures the <u>Athlete's</u> privacy and where possible is used solely as a <u>Doping</u> <u>Control Station</u> for the duration of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. The <u>DCO</u> shall record any significant deviations from these criteria.

6.3.3 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish criteria for who may be authorized to be present during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in addition to the <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u>. At a minimum, the criteria shall include:

- a) An *Athlete's* entitlement to be accompanied by a representative and/or interpreter during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, except when the *Athlete* is passing a urine Sample;
- b) A Minor Athlete's entitlement (as provided for in Annex C Modifications for Athletes who are Minors), and the witnessing DCO/Chaperone's entitlement to have a representative observe the witnessing DCO/Chaperone when the Minor Athlete is passing a urine Sample, but without the representative directly observing the passing of the Sample unless requested to do so by the Minor Athlete;
- c) The entitlement of an *Athlete* with an impairment to be accompanied by a representative as provided for in Annex B Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments;
- d) A WADA observer where applicable under the Independent Observer Program. The WADA observer shall not directly observe the passing of a urine Sample.

6.3.4 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall only use <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Equipment</u> systems which, at a minimum:

- a) Have a unique numbering system incorporated into all bottles, containers, tubes or other items used to seal the *Sample*;
- b) Have a sealing system that is tamper-evident;
- c) Ensure the identity of the *Athlete* is not evident from the equipment itself; and
- d) Ensure that all equipment is clean and sealed prior to use by the *Athlete.*

6.3.5 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall develop a system for recording the <u>Chain of Custody</u> of the <u>Samples</u> and <u>Sample</u> collection documentation which includes confirming that both the <u>Samples</u> and <u>Sample</u> collection documentation have arrived at their intended destinations.

[Comment to 6.3.5: Information as to how a Sample is stored prior to departure from the <u>Doping Control Station</u> may be recorded on (for example) a post-mission report. When the Sample is taken from the <u>Doping Control Station</u>, each transfer of custody of the Sample from one person to another, e.g. from the <u>DCO</u> to the courier, or from the <u>DCO</u> to the laboratory, should be documented, up until the Sample arrives at its intended destination.]

7.0 Conducting the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>

7.1 Objective

To conduct the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in a manner that ensures the integrity, security and identity of the Sample and respects the privacy and dignity of the Athlete.

7.2 General

The <u>Sample Collection Session</u> starts with defining overall responsibility for the conduct of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> and ends once the <u>Sample</u> has been collected and secured and the <u>Sample</u> collection documentation is complete. The main activities are:

- a) Preparing for collecting the *Sample*;
- b) Collecting and securing the *Sample;* and
- c) Documenting the *Sample* collection.

7.3 Requirements prior to *Sample* collection

7.3.1 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall be responsible for the overall conduct of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, with specific responsibilities delegated to the <u>DCO</u>.

7.3.2 The <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* has been informed of his/her rights and responsibilities as specified in Article 5.4.1.

7.3.3 The <u>DCO</u> shall provide the *Athlete* with the opportunity to hydrate. The *Athlete* should avoid excessive rehydration, having in mind the requirement to provide a *Sample* with a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>.

7.3.4 The *Athlete* shall only leave the <u>Doping Control Station</u> under continuous observation by the <u>DCO</u> or <u>Chaperone</u> and with the approval of the <u>DCO</u>. The <u>DCO</u> shall consider any reasonable request by the *Athlete* to leave the <u>Doping Control Station</u>, as specified in Articles 5.4.4, 5.4.5 and 5.4.6, until the *Athlete* is able to provide a *Sample*.

7.3.5 If the <u>DCO</u> gives approval for the *Athlete* to leave the <u>Doping Control</u> <u>Station</u>, the <u>DCO</u> shall agree with the *Athlete* on the following conditions of leave:

- a) The purpose of the *Athlete* leaving the *Doping Control* Station;
- b) The time of return (or return upon completion of an agreed activity);
- c) That the *Athlete* must remain under continuous observation throughout;
- d) That the *Athlete* shall not pass urine until he/she gets back to the *Doping Control* Station; and
- e) The <u>DCO</u> shall document the time of the *Athlete's* departure and return.

7.4 Requirements for *Sample* collection

7.4.1 The <u>DCO</u> shall collect the *Sample* from the *Athlete* according to the following protocol(s) for the specific type of *Sample* collection:

- a) Annex D: Collection of Urine Samples;
- b) Annex E: Collection of Blood Samples.

7.4.2 Any behaviour by the *Athlete* and/or *Persons* associated with the *Athlete* or anomalies with potential to compromise the *Sample* collection shall be recorded in detail by the <u>DCO</u>. If appropriate, the <u>Testing</u> Authority shall institute Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

7.4.3 If there are doubts as to the origin or authenticity of the *Sample*, the *Athlete* shall be asked to provide an additional *Sample*. If the *Athlete* refuses to provide an additional *Sample*, the <u>DCO</u> shall document in detail the circumstances around the refusal, and the <u>Testing Authority</u> shall institute Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

7.4.4 The <u>DCO</u> shall provide the *Athlete* with the opportunity to document any concerns he/she may have about how the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> was conducted.

7.4.5 In conducting the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, the following information shall be recorded as a minimum:

- a) Date, time and type of notification (no advance notice or advance notice);
- b) Arrival time at *Doping Control* Station;
- c) Date and time of completion of *Sample* collection process (i.e., the time when the *Athlete* signs the declaration at the bottom of the *Doping Control* form);
- d) The name of the *Athlete*;
- e) The date of birth of the *Athlete*;
- f) The gender of the *Athlete*;
- g) The *Athlete's* home address, email address and telephone number;
- h) The *Athlete's* sport and discipline;
- i) The name of the *Athlete's* coach and doctor;
- j) The *Sample* code number;
- k) The type of the *Sample* (urine, blood, etc);
- I) The type of test (*In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*);
- m) The name and signature of the witnessing DCO/Chaperone;

- n) The name and signature of the <u>Blood Collection Officer</u> (where applicable);
- o) Partial *Sample* information, as per Article F.4.4;
- P) Required laboratory information on the Sample (i.e., for a urine Sample, its volume and specific gravity);
- q) Medications and supplements taken within the previous seven days and (where the *Sample* collected is a blood *Sample*) blood transfusions within the previous three months, as declared by the *Athlete*;
- r) Any irregularities in procedures;
- s) *Athlete* comments or concerns regarding the conduct of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, as declared by the *Athlete*;
- t) Athlete consent for the processing of Sample collection data;
- *Athlete* consent or otherwise for the use of the *Sample*(s) for research purposes;
- v) The name and signature of the *Athlete's* representative (if applicable), as per Article 7.4.6;
- w) The name and signature of the *Athlete*;
- x) The name and signature of the <u>DCO</u>;
- y) The name of the *Testing* Authority;
- z) The name of the *Sample* Collection Authority; and
- aa) The name of the Results Management Authority.

7.4.6 At the conclusion of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> the Athlete and <u>DCO</u> shall sign appropriate documentation to indicate their satisfaction that the documentation accurately reflects the details of the Athlete's <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, including any concerns expressed by the Athlete. The Athlete's representative (if any) and the Athlete shall both sign the documentation if the Athlete is a Minor. Other persons present who had a formal role during the Athlete's <u>Sample Collection Session</u> may sign the documentation as a witness of the proceedings.

7.4.7 The <u>DCO</u> shall provide the *Athlete* with a copy of the records of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> that have been signed by the *Athlete*.

8.0 Security/Post-test administration

8.1 Objective

To ensure that all *Samples* collected at the <u>Doping Control Station</u> and *Sample* collection documentation are securely stored prior to their dispatch from the <u>Doping Control Station</u>.

8.2 General

Post-test administration begins when the *Athlete* has left the <u>Doping Control</u> <u>Station</u> after providing his/her Sample(s), and ends with preparation of all of the collected Samples and Sample collection documentation for transport.

8.3 Requirements for security/post-test administration

8.3.1 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall define criteria ensuring that each <u>Sample</u> collected is stored in a manner that protects its integrity, identity and security prior to transport from the <u>Doping Control Station</u>. At a minimum, these criteria should include detailing and documenting the location where <u>Samples</u> are stored and who has custody of the <u>Samples</u> and/or is permitted access to the <u>Samples</u>. The <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that any <u>Sample</u> is stored in accordance with these criteria.

8.3.2 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall develop a system to ensure that the documentation for each <u>Sample</u> is completed and securely handled.

8.3.3 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall develop a system to ensure that, where required, instructions for the type of analysis to be conducted are provided to the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis. In addition, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall provide the laboratory with information as required under Article 7.4.5 c), f), h), j), k), l), o), p), q), y), z) and aa) for result reporting and statistical purposes.

9.0 Transport of *Samples* and documentation

9.1 Objective

- a) To ensure that *Samples* and related documentation arrive at the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis in proper condition to do the necessary analysis; and
- b) To ensure the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> documentation is sent by the <u>DCO</u> to the <u>Testing Authority</u> in a secure and timely manner.

9.2 General

9.2.1 Transport starts when the *Samples* and related documentation leave the <u>Doping Control Station</u> and ends with the confirmed receipt of the *Samples* and <u>Sample Collection Session</u> documentation at their intended destinations.

9.2.2 The main activities are arranging for the secure transport of *Samples* and related documentation to the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis, and arranging for the secure transport of the <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Session</u> documentation to the <u>Testing Authority</u>.

9.3 Requirements for transport and storage of *Samples* and documentation

9.3.1 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall authorize a transport system that ensures Samples and documentation are transported in a manner that protects their integrity, identity and security.

9.3.2 *Samples* shall always be transported to the laboratory that will be analyzing the *Samples* using the *Sample* Collection Authority's authorised transport method, as soon as practicable after the completion of the *Sample* <u>Collection Session</u>. *Samples* shall be transported in a manner which minimizes the potential for *Sample* degradation due to factors such as time delays and extreme temperature variations.

[Comment to 9.3.2: Anti-Doping Organizations should discuss transportation requirements for particular missions (e.g., where the Sample has been collected in less than hygienic conditions, or where delays may occur in transporting the Samples to the laboratory) with the laboratory that will be analyzing the Samples, to establish what is necessary in the particular circumstances of such mission (e.g., refrigeration or freezing of the Samples).]

9.3.3 Documentation identifying the *Athlete* shall not be included with the *Samples* or documentation sent to the laboratory that will be analyzing the *Samples*.

9.3.4 The <u>DCO</u> shall send all relevant <u>Sample Collection Session</u> documentation to the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>, using the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>'s authorised transport method, as soon as practicable after the completion of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

9.3.5 If the *Samples* with accompanying documentation or the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session</u> documentation are not received at their respective intended destinations, or if a <u>Sample's</u> integrity or identity may have been compromised during transport, the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall check the <u>Chain of Custody</u>, and the <u>Testing Authority</u> shall consider whether the <u>Samples</u> should be voided.

9.3.6 Documentation related to a <u>Sample Collection Session</u> and/or an anti-doping rule violation shall be stored by the <u>Testing Authority</u> and/or the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> for the period specified in the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.

10.0 Ownership of *Samples*

10.1 *Samples* collected from an *Athlete* are owned by the *Testing* <u>Authority</u> for the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in question.

10.2 The <u>Testing Authority</u> may transfer ownership of the <u>Samples</u> to the <u>Results Management Authority</u> or to another <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u> upon request.

PART THREE: STANDARDS FOR INTELLIGENCE GATHERING AND INVESTIGATIONS

11.0 Gathering, assessment and use of intelligence

11.1 Objective

11.1.1 *Code* Article 5.8 requires *Anti-Doping Organizations* to obtain, assess and process anti-doping intelligence from all available sources, to be used to help deter and detect doping, by informing the development of an effective, intelligent and proportionate <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> and/or the planning of *Target Testing*, and/or by forming the basis of an investigation into a possible anti-doping rule violation(s). The objective of this Section 11.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to establish standards for the efficient and effective gathering, assessment and processing of such intelligence for these purposes.

[Comment to 11.1.1: While Testing will always remain an integral part of the anti-doping effort, Testing alone is not always sufficient to detect and establish to the requisite standard all of the anti-doping rule violations identified in the Code. In particular, while Use of Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods may often be uncovered by analysis of Samples, the other Code anti-doping rule violations (and, often, Use) can usually only be effectively identified and pursued through the gathering and investigation of 'non-analytical' anti-doping intelligence and information. This means that Anti-Doping Organizations need to develop efficient and effective intelligence-gathering and investigation functions.]

11.2 Gathering of anti-doping intelligence

11.2.1 Anti-Doping Organizations shall do everything in their power to ensure that they are able to capture or receive anti-doping intelligence from all available sources, including *Athletes* and *Athlete Support Personnel* (including *Substantial Assistance* provided pursuant to *Code* Article 10.6.1) and members of the public (e.g., by means of a confidential telephone hotline), <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> (whether via mission reports, incident reports, or otherwise), laboratories, pharmaceutical companies, National Federations, law enforcement, other regulatory and disciplinary bodies, and the media.

11.2.2 Anti-Doping Organizations shall have policies and procedures in place to ensure that anti-doping intelligence captured or received is handled securely and confidentially, that sources of intelligence are protected, that the risk of leaks or inadvertent disclosure is properly addressed, and that intelligence shared with them by law enforcement, other relevant authorities

and/or other third parties, is processed, used and disclosed only for legitimate anti-doping purposes.

11.3 Assessment and analysis of anti-doping intelligence

11.3.1 *Anti-Doping Organizations* shall ensure that they are able to assess all anti-doping intelligence upon receipt for relevance, reliability and accuracy, taking into account the nature of the source and the circumstances in which the intelligence has been captured or received.

[Comment to 11.3.1: There are various models that may be used as the basis for the assessment and analysis of anti-doping intelligence. There are also powerful databases and case management systems that may be used to assist in the organization, processing, analysis and cross-referencing of such intelligence.]

11.3.2 All anti-doping intelligence captured or received by an *Anti-Doping Organization* should be collated and analysed to establish patterns, trends and relationships that may assist the *Anti-Doping Organization* in developing an effective anti-doping strategy and/or in determining (where the intelligence relates to a particular case) whether there is reasonable cause to suspect that an anti-doping rule violation may have been committed, such that further investigation is warranted in accordance with Section 12.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

11.4 Intelligence outcomes

11.4.1 Anti-doping intelligence shall be used to assist in developing, reviewing and revising the <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> and/or in determining when to conduct *Target Testing*, in each case in accordance with Section 4.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, and/or to create targeted intelligence files to be referred for investigation in accordance with Section 12.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

11.4.2 Anti-Doping Organizations should also develop and implement policies and procedures for the sharing of intelligence (where appropriate, and subject to applicable law) with other Anti-Doping Organizations (e.g., if the intelligence relates to Athletes or other Persons under their jurisdiction) and/or law enforcement and/or other relevant regulatory or disciplinary authorities (e.g., if the intelligence suggests the possible commission of a crime or regulatory offence or breach of other rules of conduct).

12.0 Investigations

12.1 Objective

12.1.1 The objective of this Section 12.0 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations is to establish standards for the efficient and effective conduct of investigations that *Anti-Doping Organizations* must conduct under the *Code*, including:

- a) the investigation of *Atypical Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings*, in accordance with *Code* Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively;
- b) the investigation of any other analytical or non-analytical information or intelligence where there is reasonable cause to suspect that an anti-doping rule violation may have been committed, in accordance with *Code* Articles 7.6 and 7.7 respectively; and
- c) where an anti-doping rule violation by an *Athlete* is established, the investigation into whether *Athlete Support Personnel* or other *Persons* may have been involved in that violation, in accordance with *Code* Article 20.

12.1.2 In each case, the purpose of the investigation is to achieve one of the following: either (a) to rule out the possible violation/involvement in a violation; or (b) to develop evidence that supports the initiation of an anti-doping rule violation proceeding in accordance with *Code* Article 8.

12.2 Investigating *Atypical Findings* and *Adverse Passport Findings*

12.2.1 Anti-Doping Organizations shall ensure that they are able to investigate confidentially and effectively Atypical Findings and Adverse Passport Findings arising out of Testing conducted on their behalf and/or for which they are the <u>Results Management Authority</u>, in accordance with the requirements of Code Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively, and of the International Standard for Laboratories.

12.2.2 The Anti-Doping Organization shall provide to WADA upon request (or shall procure that the <u>Testing Authority</u>, if different, provides to WADA upon request) further information regarding the circumstances of Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings, and other potential anti-doping rule violations, such as (without limitation):

a) the *Competition* level of the *Athlete* in question;

- b) what whereabouts information (if any) the *Athlete* in question provides, and whether that information was used to locate him/her for the *Sample* collection that led to the *Adverse Analytical Finding* or the *Atypical Finding*;
- c) the timing of the *Sample* collection in question relative to the *Athlete's* training and *Competition* schedules; and
- d) other such profile information as determined by *WADA*.

12.3 Investigating other possible anti-doping rule violations

12.3.1 *Anti-Doping Organizations* shall ensure that they are able to investigate confidentially and effectively any other analytical or non-analytical information or intelligence that indicates there is reasonable cause to suspect that an anti-doping rule violation may have been committed, in accordance with *Code* Articles 7.6 and 7.7, respectively.

[Comment to 12.3.1: Where an attempt to collect a Sample from an Athlete produces information indicating a possible evasion of Sample collection and/or refusal or failure to submit to Sample collection after due notification, in violation of Code Article 2.3, or possible Tampering or Attempted Tampering with Doping Control, in violation of Code Article 2.5, the matter shall be investigated in accordance with Annex A – Investigating a Possible Failure to Comply.]

12.3.2 When there is reasonable cause to suspect that an anti-doping rule violation may have been committed, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall notify *WADA* that it is starting an investigation into the matter in accordance with *Code* Article 7.6 or *Code* Article 7.7, as applicable. Thereafter the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall keep *WADA* updated on the status and findings of the investigation upon request.

12.3.3 The Anti-Doping Organization shall gather and record all relevant information and documentation as soon as possible, in order to develop that information and documentation into admissible and reliable evidence in relation to the possible anti-doping rule violation, and/or to identify further lines of enquiry that may lead to the discovery of such evidence. The Anti-Doping Organization shall ensure that investigations are conducted fairly, objectively and impartially at all times. The conduct of investigations, the evaluation of information and evidence identified in the course of that investigation, and the outcome of the investigation, shall be fully documented.

[Comment to 12.3.3: It is important that information is provided to and gathered by the investigating Anti-Doping Organization as quickly as possible

and in as much detail as possible, because the longer the period between the incident and investigation, the greater the risk that certain evidence may no longer exist.

Investigations should not be conducted with a closed mind, pursuing only one outcome (e.g., institution of anti-doping rule violation proceedings against an Athlete or other Person). Rather, the investigator(s) should be open to and should consider all possible outcomes at each key stage of the investigation, and should seek to gather not only any available evidence indicating that there is a case to answer but also any available evidence indicating that there is no case to answer.]

12.3.4 The Anti-Doping Organization should make use of all investigative resources reasonably available to it to conduct its investigation. This may include obtaining information and assistance from law enforcement and other relevant authorities, including other regulators. However, the Anti-Doping Organization should also make full use of all investigative resources at its own disposal, including the Athlete Biological Passport program, investigative powers conferred under applicable rules (e.g., the power to demand the production of relevant documents and information, and the power to interview both potential witnesses and the Athlete or other Person who is the subject of the investigation), and the power to suspend a period of Ineligibility imposed on an Athlete or other Person in return for the provision of Substantial Assistance in accordance with Code Article 10.6.1.

[Comment to 12.3.4: WADA's document entitled 'Coordinating Investigations and Sharing Anti-Doping Information and Evidence' provides guidance on how to build efficient and effective relationships with law enforcement and other relevant authorities that will facilitate the sharing of anti-doping intelligence and information and the co-ordination of investigations.]

12.3.5 Athletes and Athlete Support Personnel are required under Code Article 21 to cooperate with investigations conducted by Anti-Doping Organizations. If they fail to do so, disciplinary action should be taken against them under applicable rules. If their conduct amounts to subversion of the investigation process (e.g., by providing false, misleading or incomplete information, and/or by destroying potential evidence), the Anti-Doping Organization should bring proceedings against them for violation of Code Article 2.5 (Tampering or Attempted Tampering).

12.4 Investigation outcomes

12.4.1 The *Anti-Doping Organization* shall come to a decision efficiently and without undue delay as to whether proceedings should be brought against the *Athlete* or other *Person* asserting commission of an anti-doping rule violation. As set out in *Code* Article 13.3, if an *Anti-Doping Organization* fails

to make such decision within a reasonable deadline set by WADA, WADA may elect to appeal directly to CAS as if the Anti-Doping Organization had rendered a decision finding that no anti-doping rule violation has been committed. As noted in the comment to Code Article 13.3, however, before taking such action WADA will consult with the Anti-Doping Organization and give it an opportunity to explain why it has not yet rendered a decision.

12.4.2 Where the *Anti-Doping Organization* concludes based on the results of its investigation that proceedings should be brought against the *Athlete* or other *Person* asserting commission of an anti-doping rule violation, it shall give notice of that decision in the manner set out in *Code* Articles 7.4 to 7.6 (as applicable) and shall bring the proceedings against the *Athlete* or other *Person* in question in accordance with *Code* Article 8.

12.4.3 Where the *Anti-Doping Organization* concludes, based on the results of its investigation, that proceedings should not be brought against the *Athlete* or other *Person* asserting commission of an anti-doping rule violation:

- a) It shall notify *WADA* and the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* International Federation and *National Anti-Doping Organization* in writing of that decision, with reasons, in accordance with *Code* Article 14.1.4.
- b) It shall provide such other information about the investigation as is reasonably required by *WADA* and/or the International Federation and/or *National Anti-Doping Organization* in order to determine whether to appeal against that decision.
- c) In any event, it shall consider whether any of the intelligence obtained and/or lessons learned during the investigation should be used to inform the development of its <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> and/or to plan *Target Testing*, and/or should be shared with any other body in accordance with Article 11.4.2.

PART FOUR: ANNEXES

Annex A - Investigating a Possible Failure to Comply

A.1 Objective

To ensure that any matters occurring before, during or after a <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session</u> that may lead to a determination of a <u>Failure to Comply</u> are properly assessed, documented and acted upon.

A.2 Scope

Investigating a possible <u>Failure to Comply</u> begins when the <u>Testing Authority</u> or a <u>DCO</u> becomes aware of a possible <u>Failure to Comply</u> and ends when the <u>Testing Authority</u> takes appropriate follow-up action based on the outcome of its investigation.

A.3 Responsibility

- A.3.1 The *Testing* Authority is responsible for ensuring that:
 - a) when the possible <u>Failure to Comply</u> comes to its attention, it notifies *WADA*, and instigates an investigation of the possible <u>Failure to Comply</u> based on all relevant information and documentation;
 - b) the *Athlete* or other party is informed of the possible <u>Failure to</u> <u>Comply</u> in writing and has the opportunity to respond;
 - c) the investigation is conducted without unnecessary delay and the evaluation process is documented; and
 - d) the final determination (i.e., whether or not to assert the commission of an anti-doping rule violation), with reasons, is made available without delay to *WADA* and other *Anti-Doping Organizations* in accordance with *Code* Articles 7.10 and 14.1.4.
- A.3.2 The <u>DCO</u> is responsible for:
 - a) informing the *Athlete* or other party of the *Consequences* of a possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>;
 - b) completing the *Athlete's* <u>Sample Collection Session</u> where possible; and
 - c) providing a detailed written report of any possible <u>Failure to</u> <u>Comply</u>.

- A.3.3 <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> are responsible for:
 - a) informing the *Athlete* or other party of the *Consequences* of a possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>; and
 - b) reporting to the <u>DCO</u> any possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

A.4 Requirements

A.4.1 Any potential <u>Failure to Comply</u> shall be reported by the <u>DCO</u> and/or followed up by the <u>Testing</u> Authority as soon as practicable.

A.4.2 If the <u>*Testing* Authority</u> determines that there has been a potential <u>Failure to Comply</u>, the *Athlete* or other party shall be promptly notified in writing:

- a) of the possible *Consequences*; and
- b) that the potential <u>Failure to Comply</u> will be investigated by the <u>Testing Authority</u> and appropriate follow-up action will be taken.

A.4.3 Any additional necessary information about the potential <u>Failure to</u> <u>Comply</u> shall be obtained from all relevant sources (including the *Athlete* or other party) as soon as possible and recorded.

A.4.4 The <u>Testing Authority</u> shall establish a system for ensuring that the outcomes of its investigation into the potential <u>Failure to Comply</u> are considered for results management action and, if applicable, for further planning and <u>Target Testing</u>.

Annex B - Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments

B.1 Objective

To ensure that the particular needs of *Athletes* with impairments are considered in relation to the provision of a *Sample*, where possible, without compromising the integrity of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

B.2 Scope

Determining whether modifications are necessary starts with identification of situations where *Sample* collection involves *Athletes* with impairments and ends with modifications to *Sample* collection procedures and equipment where necessary and where possible.

B.3 Responsibility

B.3.1 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> has responsibility for ensuring, when possible, that the <u>DCO</u> has any information and <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> necessary to conduct a <u>Sample Collection Session</u> with an <u>Athlete</u> with an impairment.

B.3.2 The <u>DCO</u> has responsibility for *Sample* collection.

B.4 Requirements

B.4.1 All aspects of notification and *Sample* collection for *Athletes* with impairments shall be carried out in accordance with the standard notification and *Sample* collection procedures unless modifications are necessary due to the *Athlete's* impairment.

[Comment to B.4.1: For example, it may be appropriate, in the case of an Athlete with an intellectual impairment, to obtain consent to Testing from his/her representative.]

B.4.2 In planning or arranging *Sample* collection, the <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Authority</u> and <u>DCO</u> shall consider whether there will be any *Sample* collection for *Athletes* with impairments that may require modifications to the standard procedures for notification or *Sample* collection, including <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Equipment</u> and facilities.

B.4.3 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> and <u>DCO</u> shall have the authority to make modifications as the situation requires when possible and as long as such modifications will not compromise the identity, security or integrity of the *Sample*. All such modifications must be documented.

B.4.4 An *Athlete* with an intellectual, physical or sensorial impairment may be assisted by the *Athlete's* representative or <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u>

during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> where authorized by the <u>Athlete</u> and agreed to by the <u>DCO</u>.

B.4.5 The <u>DCO</u> may decide that alternative <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> or facilities will be used when required to enable the *Athlete* to provide the *Sample*, as long as the *Sample's* identity, security and integrity will not be affected.

B.4.6 *Athletes* who are using urine collection or drainage systems are required to eliminate existing urine from such systems before providing a urine *Sample* for analysis. Where possible, the existing urine collection or drainage system should be replaced with a new, unused catheter or drainage system prior to collection of the *Sample*. The catheter or drainage system is not a required part of *Sample* Collection Equipment to be provided by the *Sample* Collection Authority; instead it is the responsibility of the *Athlete* to have the necessary equipment available for this purpose.

B.4.7 The <u>DCO</u> will record modifications made to the standard *Sample* collection procedures for *Athletes* with impairments, including any applicable modifications specified in the above actions.

Annex C - Modifications for *Athletes* who are *Minors*

C.1 Objective

To ensure that the particular needs of *Athletes* who are *Minors* are met in relation to the provision of a *Sample*, where possible, without compromising the integrity of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

C.2 Scope

Determining whether modifications are necessary starts with identification of situations where *Sample* collection involves *Athletes* who are *Minors* and ends with modifications to *Sample* collection procedures where necessary and where possible.

C.3 Responsibility

The <u>Testing Authority</u> has responsibility for ensuring, when possible, that the <u>DCO</u> has any information necessary to conduct a <u>Sample Collection Session</u> with an <u>Athlete</u> who is a <u>Minor</u>. This includes confirming wherever necessary that the organiser of the <u>Event</u> obtains the necessary parental consent for <u>Testing</u> any participating <u>Athlete</u> who is a <u>Minor</u>.

C.4 Requirements

C.4.1 All aspects of notification and *Sample* collection for *Athletes* who are *Minors* shall be carried out in accordance with the standard notification and *Sample* collection procedures unless modifications are necessary due to the *Athlete* being a *Minor*.

C.4.2 In planning or arranging *Sample* collection, the <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Authority</u> and <u>DCO</u> shall consider whether there will be any *Sample* collection for *Athletes* who are *Minors* that may require modifications to the standard procedures for notification or *Sample* collection.

C.4.3 The <u>DCO</u> and the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall have the authority to make modifications as the situation requires when possible and as long as such modifications will not compromise the identity, security or integrity of the Sample.

C.4.4 *Athletes* who are *Minors* should be notified in the presence of an adult, and may choose to be accompanied by a representative throughout the entire <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. The representative shall not witness the passing of a urine Sample unless requested to do so by the *Minor*. The objective is to ensure that the <u>DCO</u> is observing the Sample provision correctly. Even if the *Minor* declines a representative, the <u>Sample Collection</u> Authority, <u>DCO</u> or <u>Chaperone</u>, as applicable, shall consider whether another

third party ought to be present during notification of and/or collection of the *Sample* from the *Athlete*.

C.4.5 The <u>DCO</u> shall determine who (in addition to the <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Personnel</u>) may be present during the collection of a <u>Sample</u> from an <u>Athlete</u> who is a <u>Minor</u>, namely a representative of the <u>Minor</u> to observe the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session</u> (including observing the <u>DCO</u> when the <u>Minor</u> is passing the urine <u>Sample</u>, but not directly observing the passing of the urine <u>Sample</u> unless requested to do so by the <u>Minor</u>) and the <u>DCO's/Chaperone's</u> representative, to observe the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> when a <u>Minor</u> is passing a urine <u>Sample</u>, but without the representative directly observing the passing of the <u>Sample</u> unless requested by the <u>Minor</u> to do so.

C.4.6 Should an *Athlete* who is a *Minor* decline to have a representative present during the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, this should be clearly documented by the <u>DCO</u>. This does not invalidate the test, but must be recorded. If a *Minor* declines the presence of a representative, the representative of the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> must be present.

C.4.7 The preferred venue for all *Out-of-Competition Testing* of a *Minor* is a location where the presence of an adult is most likely, e.g., a training venue.

C.4.8 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall consider the appropriate course of action when no adult is present at the *Testing* of an *Athlete* who is a *Minor* and shall accommodate the *Athlete* in locating a representative in order to proceed with *Testing*.

Annex D - Collection of Urine Samples

D.1 Objective

To collect an *Athlete's* urine *Sample* in a manner that ensures:

- a) consistency with relevant principles of internationally recognised standard precautions in healthcare settings so that the health and safety of the *Athlete* and <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> are not compromised;
- b) the Sample meets the Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis and the Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis. Failure of a Sample to meet these requirements in no way invalidates the suitability of the Sample for analysis. The determination of a Sample's suitability for analysis is the decision of the relevant laboratory, in consultation with the <u>Testing</u> Authority for the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session</u> in question;
- c) the *Sample* has not been manipulated, substituted, contaminated or otherwise tampered with in any way;
- d) the *Sample* is clearly and accurately identified; and
- e) the *Sample* is securely sealed in a tamper-evident kit.

D.2 Scope

The collection of a urine *Sample* begins with ensuring the *Athlete* is informed of the *Sample* collection requirements and ends with discarding any residual urine remaining at the end of the *Athlete's* <u>Sample</u> Collection Session.

D.3 Responsibility

D.3.1 The <u>DCO</u> has the responsibility for ensuring that each *Sample* is properly collected, identified and sealed.

D.3.2 The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> has the responsibility for directly witnessing the passing of the urine *Sample*.

D.4 Requirements

D.4.1 The <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* is informed of the requirements of the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, including any modifications as provided for in Annex B – Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments.

D.4.2 The <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* is offered a choice of appropriate equipment for collecting the *Sample*. If the nature of an *Athlete's* impairment requires that he/she must use additional or other equipment as provided for in Annex B – Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments, the <u>DCO</u> shall inspect that equipment to ensure that it will not affect the identity or integrity of the *Sample*.

D.4.3 The <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to select a collection vessel.

D.4.4 When the *Athlete* selects a collection vessel, and for selection of all other <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> that directly holds the urine <u>Sample</u>, the <u>DCO</u> will instruct the *Athlete* to check that all seals on the selected equipment are intact and the equipment has not been tampered with. If the *Athlete* is not satisfied with the selected equipment, he/she may select another. If the *Athlete* is not satisfied with any of the equipment available for selection, this shall be recorded by the <u>DCO</u>. If the <u>DCO</u> does not agree with the *Athlete* that all of the equipment available for the selection is unsatisfactory, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to proceed with the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. If the <u>DCO</u> agrees with the *Athlete* that all of the selection is unsatisfactory, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the selection is unsatisfactory, the <u>DCO</u> shall proceed with the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. If the <u>DCO</u> agrees with the <u>Athlete</u> that all of the selection is unsatisfactory, the <u>DCO</u> shall proceed with the <u>Sample COL</u> shall terminate the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> and this shall be recorded by the <u>DCO</u>.

D.4.5 The *Athlete* shall retain control of the collection vessel and any *Sample* provided until the *Sample* (or partial *Sample*) is sealed, unless assistance is required by reason of an *Athlete's* impairment as provided for in Annex B – Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments. Additional assistance may be provided in exceptional circumstances to any *Athlete* by the *Athlete's* representative or <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> during the <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Session</u> where authorised by the *Athlete* and agreed to by the <u>DCO</u>.

D.4.6 The <u>DCO</u>/<u>Chaperone</u> who witnesses the passing of the *Sample* shall be of the same gender as the *Athlete* providing the *Sample*.

D.4.7 The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> should, where practicable, ensure the *Athlete* thoroughly washes his/her hands prior to the provision of the *Sample* or wears suitable (e.g., latex) gloves during provision of the *Sample*.

D.4.8 The <u>DCO</u>/<u>Chaperone</u> and *Athlete* shall proceed to an area of privacy to collect a *Sample*.

D.4.9 The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> shall ensure an unobstructed view of the *Sample* leaving the *Athlete's* body and must continue to observe the *Sample* after provision until the *Sample* is securely sealed. In order to ensure a clear and unobstructed view of the passing of the *Sample*, the <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to remove or adjust any clothing which restricts the <u>DCO's/Chaperone</u>'s clear view of *Sample* provision. The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> shall

ensure that all urine passed by the *Athlete* at the time of provision of the *Sample* is collected in the collection vessel.

D.4.10 The <u>DCO</u> shall verify, in full view of the *Athlete*, that the <u>Suitable</u> <u>Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> has been provided.

D.4.11 Where the volume of urine provided by the *Athlete* is insufficient, the <u>DCO</u> shall follow the partial *Sample* collection procedure set out in Annex F – Urine *Samples* – Insufficient Volume.

D.4.12 Once the volume of urine provided by the *Athlete* is sufficient, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to select a *Sample* collection kit containing A and B bottles in accordance with Article D.4.4.

D.4.13 Once a *Sample* collection kit has been selected, the <u>DCO</u> and the *Athlete* shall check that all code numbers match and that this code number is recorded accurately by the <u>DCO</u> on the *Doping Control* form. If the *Athlete* or <u>DCO</u> finds that the numbers are not the same, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to choose another kit in accordance with Article D.4.4. The <u>DCO</u> shall record the matter.

D.4.14 The *Athlete* shall pour the minimum <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for</u> <u>Analysis</u> into the B bottle (to a minimum of 30 mL), and then pour the remainder of the urine into the A bottle (to a minimum of 60 mL). The <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> shall be viewed as an absolute minimum. If more than the minimum <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> has been provided, the <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* fills the A bottle to capacity as per the recommendation of the equipment manufacturer. Should there still be urine remaining, the <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* fills the B bottle to capacity as per the recommendation of the equipment manufacturer. The <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to ensure that a small amount of urine is left in the collection vessel, explaining that this is to enable the <u>DCO</u> to test that residual urine in accordance with Article D.4.16.

D.4.15 The *Athlete* shall then seal the A and B bottles as directed by the <u>DCO</u>. The <u>DCO</u> shall check, in full view of the *Athlete*, that the bottles have been properly sealed.

D.4.16 The <u>DCO</u> shall test the residual urine in the collection vessel to determine if the *Sample* has a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>. If the <u>DCO's</u> field reading indicates that the *Sample* does not have a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>, then the <u>DCO</u> shall follow Annex G (Urine *Samples* that do not meet the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>).

D.4.17 Urine should only be discarded when both the A and B bottles have been filled to capacity in accordance with Article D.4.14 and the residual urine has been tested in accordance with Article D.4.16.

D.4.18 The *Athlete* shall be given the option of witnessing the discarding of any residual urine that will not be sent for analysis.

Annex E - Collection of Blood Samples

E.1 Objective

To collect an *Athlete's* blood *Sample* in a manner that ensures:

- a) consistency with relevant principles of internationally recognised standard precautions in healthcare settings, and is collected by a suitably qualified person, so that the health and safety of the *Athlete* and *Sample* Collection Personnel are not compromised;
- b) the *Sample* is of a quality and quantity that meets the relevant analytical guidelines;
- c) that *Samples* intended for use in connection with the measurement of individual *Athlete* blood variables within the framework of the *Athlete Biological Passport* program are collected in a manner appropriate for such use.
- d) the *Sample* has not been manipulated, substituted, contaminated or otherwise tampered with in any way;
- e) the Sample is clearly and accurately identified; and
- f) the *Sample* is securely sealed.

E.2 Scope

The collection of a blood *Sample* begins with ensuring the *Athlete* is informed of the *Sample* collection requirements and ends with properly storing the *Sample* prior to transport to the laboratory that will be analysing the *Sample*.

E.3 Responsibility

E.3.1 The <u>DCO</u> has the responsibility for ensuring that:

- a) Each *Sample* is properly collected, identified and sealed; and
- b) All *Samples* have been properly stored and dispatched in accordance with the relevant analytical guidelines.

E.3.2 The <u>Blood Collection Officer</u> has the responsibility for collecting the blood *Sample*, answering related questions during the provision of the *Sample*, and proper disposal of used blood sampling equipment not required to complete the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

E.4 Requirements

E.4.1 Procedures involving blood shall be consistent with the local standards and regulatory requirements regarding precautions in healthcare settings where those standards and requirements exceed the requirements set out below.

E.4.2 Blood <u>Sample Collection Equipment</u> shall consist of (a) a single sample tube for Samples to be used in connection with an Athlete Biological Passport program; or (b) both an A and B sample tube for Samples not to be used in connection with an Athlete Biological Passport program; or (c) other equipment as otherwise specified by the relevant laboratory. Collection tubes shall be labelled with a unique Sample code number by the <u>DCO/BCO</u> if they are not pre-labelled. The types of equipment to be used and the volume of blood to be collected for particular analyses shall be as set out in WADA's Blood Collection Guidelines.

E.4.3 The <u>DCO</u> shall ensure that the *Athlete* is properly notified of the requirements of the *Sample* collection, including any modifications as provided for in Annex B – Modifications for *Athletes* with Impairments. If the *Sample* is to be used in connection with the *Athlete Biological Passport* program, the <u>DCO/BCO</u> shall use the *Doping Control* form that is specific to the *Athlete Biological Passport* program. If such form is not available, the <u>DCO/BCO</u> shall use a regular *Doping Control* form, but he/she shall collect and record the following additional information on a supplementary report form that shall be signed by the *Athlete* and the <u>DCO/BCO</u>:

- a) confirmation that the *Athlete* did not participate in training or *Competition* in the last two hours before the *Sample* was collected (see Article E.4.5);
- b) whether the *Athlete* trained, competed or resided at an altitude greater than 1000 meters in the previous two weeks. If so, or if in doubt, the name and location of the place(s) where the *Athlete* has been, as well as the duration of his/her stay there, shall be recorded, along with the estimated altitude there (if known).
- c) whether the *Athlete* used any form of altitude simulation (such as a hypoxy tent, mask, etc.) in the previous two weeks. If so, as much information as possible on the type of device and the manner in which it was used (frequency, duration, intensity, etc.) should be recorded; and
- d) whether the *Athlete* received any blood transfusion(s) during the previous three months. Whether there was any blood loss due to accident, pathology or donation in the previous three months. In either case, if so, the estimated volume.

E.4.4 The <u>DCO/Chaperone</u> and *Athlete* shall proceed to the area where the *Sample* will be provided.

E.4.5 The <u>DCO/BCO</u> shall ensure the *Athlete* is offered comfortable conditions and shall instruct the *Athlete* to remain in a normal seated position with feet on the floor for at least 10 minutes prior to providing a *Sample*. If the *Sample* is to be used in connection with the *Athlete Biological Passport* program, it shall not be collected within two hours of the *Athlete* training or competing. If the *Athlete* has trained or competed within two hours of the time that the *Athlete* is notified of his/her selection for *Sample* collection, the <u>DCO/BCO/Chaperone</u> shall monitor the *Athlete* continuously until the two hour period has elapsed, after which the *Sample* shall be collected. The nature of the exertion (*Competition*, training, etc.), as well as its duration and general intensity, shall be recorded by the <u>DCO/BCO</u>/BCO

E.4.6 The <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to select the *Sample* collection kit(s) required for collecting the *Sample* and to check that the selected equipment has not been tampered with and the seals are intact. If the *Athlete* is not satisfied with a selected kit, he/she may select another. If the *Athlete* is not satisfied with any kits and no others are available, this shall be recorded by the <u>DCO</u>. If the <u>DCO</u> does not agree with the *Athlete* that all of the available kits are unsatisfactory, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to proceed with the *Sample* Collection Session. If the <u>DCO</u> shall terminate the *Sample* Collection Session and this shall be recorded by the <u>DCO</u>.

E.4.7 When a *Sample* collection kit has been selected, the <u>DCO</u> and the *Athlete* shall check that all code numbers match and that this code number is recorded accurately by the <u>DCO</u> on the *Doping Control* form. If the *Athlete* or <u>DCO</u> finds that the numbers are not the same, the <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to choose another kit. The <u>DCO</u> shall record the matter.

E.4.8 The <u>BCO</u> shall clean the skin with a sterile disinfectant wipe or swab in a location unlikely to adversely affect the *Athlete* or his/her performance and, if required, apply a tourniquet. The <u>BCO</u> shall take the blood *Sample* from a superficial vein into the tube. The tourniquet, if applied, shall be immediately removed after the venipuncture has been made.

E.4.9 The amount of blood removed shall be adequate to satisfy the relevant analytical requirements for the *Sample* analysis to be performed, as set out in *WADA's* Blood Collection Guidelines.

E.4.10 If the amount of blood that can be removed from the *Athlete* at the first attempt is insufficient, the <u>BCO</u> shall repeat the procedure up to a maximum of three attempts in total. Should all three attempts fail to produce a sufficient amount of blood, then the <u>BCO</u> shall inform the <u>DCO</u>. The <u>DCO</u>

shall terminate the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> and record this and the reasons for terminating the collection.

E.4.11 The <u>BCO</u> shall apply a dressing to the puncture site(s).

E.4.12 The <u>BCO</u> shall dispose of used blood sampling equipment not required to complete the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in accordance with the required local standards for handling blood.

E.4.13 If the *Sample* requires further on-site processing, such as centrifugation or separation of serum (for example, in the case of a *Sample* intended for use in connection with the *Athlete Biological Passport* program, after the blood flow into the tube ceases, the <u>BCO</u> shall remove the tube from the holder and homogenize the blood in the tube manually by inverting the tube gently at least three times), the *Athlete* shall remain to observe the *Sample* until final sealing in secure, tamper-evident kit.

E.4.14 The *Athlete* shall seal his/her *Sample* into the *Sample* collection kit as directed by the <u>DCO</u>. In full view of the *Athlete*, the <u>DCO</u> shall check that the sealing is satisfactory. The *Athlete* and the <u>BCO/DCO</u> shall sign the *Doping Control* form.

E.4.15 If the *Sample* is intended for use in connection with an *Athlete Biological Passport* program, the <u>DCO/BCO</u> shall place it in a storage device that is capable of maintaining blood *Samples* at a cool temperature for the duration of the period of storage and transport but without allowing whole blood *Samples* to freeze (such as a refrigerator, an insulated cool box, an isotherm bag, or any other device with such capability). A temperature data logger shall be used to record the temperature of the *Sample* during storage and transport. In choosing the storage device, the *Sample* Collection Authority shall take into account the duration of the period of storage and transport, the number of *Samples* to be stored together, and the prevailing environmental conditions (hot or cold temperatures).

E4.16 The sealed *Sample* shall be stored in a manner that protects its integrity, identity and security prior to transport from the *Doping Control* <u>Station</u> to the laboratory that will be analysing the *Sample*.

E.4.17 Blood *Samples* shall be transported in accordance with Section 9.0. The transport procedure is the responsibility of the <u>DCO</u>. Blood *Samples* shall be transported in a device that maintains the integrity of *Samples* over time notwithstanding changes in external temperature. The transport device shall be transported by secure means using a method authorized by the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u>. If the *Sample* is intended for use in connection with an *Athlete Biological Passport* program, it shall be transported rapidly to the laboratory so that analysis can be performed ideally within 36 hours of *Sample* collection.

Annex F - Urine *Samples* - Insufficient Volume

F.1 Objective

To ensure that where a <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> is not provided, appropriate procedures are followed.

F.2 Scope

The procedure begins with informing the *Athlete* that the *Sample* that he/she has provided is not of <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> and ends with the *Athlete's* provision of a *Sample* of sufficient volume.

F.3 Responsibility

The <u>DCO</u> has the responsibility for declaring the *Sample* volume insufficient and for collecting the additional *Sample(s)* to obtain a combined *Sample* of sufficient volume.

F.4 Requirements

F.4.1 If the *Sample* collected is of insufficient volume, the <u>DCO</u> shall inform the *Athlete* that a further *Sample* shall be collected to meet the <u>Suitable</u> <u>Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> requirements.

F.4.2 The <u>DCO</u> shall instruct the *Athlete* to select partial <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Equipment</u> in accordance with Article D.4.4.

F.4.3 The <u>DCO</u> shall then instruct the *Athlete* to open the relevant equipment, pour the insufficient *Sample* into the new container (unless the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>'s procedures permit retention of the insufficient <u>Sample</u> in the original collection vessel) and seal it as directed by the <u>DCO</u>. The <u>DCO</u> shall check, in full view of the *Athlete*, that the container (or original collection vessel, if applicable) has been properly sealed.

F.4.4 The <u>DCO</u> and the *Athlete* shall check that the equipment code number and the volume and identity of the insufficient *Sample* are recorded accurately by the <u>DCO</u> on the *Doping Control* form. Either the *Athlete* or the <u>DCO</u> shall retain control of the sealed partial *Sample*.

F.4.5 While waiting to provide an additional *Sample*, the *Athlete* shall remain under continuous observation and be given the opportunity to hydrate.

F.4.6 When the *Athlete* is able to provide an additional *Sample*, the procedures for collection of the *Sample* shall be repeated as prescribed in Annex D – Collection of Urine *Samples* until a sufficient volume of urine will be provided by combining the initial and additional *Sample(s)*.

F.4.7 When the <u>DCO</u> is satisfied that the requirements for <u>Suitable Volume</u> <u>of Urine for Analysis</u> have been met, the <u>DCO</u> and *Athlete* shall check the integrity of the seal(s) on the container(s) containing the previously provided partial *Sample(s)*. Any irregularity with the integrity of the seal(s) will be recorded by the <u>DCO</u> and investigated according to Annex A – Investigating a Possible <u>Failure to Comply</u>.

F.4.8 The <u>DCO</u> shall then direct the *Athlete* to break the seal(s) and combine the *Samples*, ensuring that additional *Samples* are added in the order they were collected to the original partial *Sample* until, as a minimum, the requirement for <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> is met.

F.4.9 The <u>DCO</u> and the *Athlete* shall then continue with Article D.4.12 or Article D.4.14 as appropriate.

F.4.10 The <u>DCO</u> shall check the residual urine in accordance with Article D.4.16 to ensure that it meets the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity</u> for Analysis.

F.4.11 Urine should only be discarded when both the A and B bottles have been filled to capacity in accordance with Article D.4.14 and the residual urine has been checked in accordance with Article F.4.10. The <u>Suitable Volume of Urine for Analysis</u> shall be viewed as an absolute minimum.

Annex G - Urine *Samples* that do not meet the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>

G.1 Objective

To ensure that when the urine *Sample* does not meet the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>, appropriate procedures are followed.

G.2 Scope

The procedure begins with the <u>DCO</u> informing the *Athlete* that a further *Sample* is required and ends with the collection of a *Sample* that meets the requirements for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>, or appropriate follow-up action by the <u>Testing Authority</u> if required.

G.3 Responsibility

The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> is responsible for establishing procedures to ensure that a suitable <u>Sample</u> is collected. If the original <u>Sample</u> collected does not meet the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>, the <u>DCO</u> is responsible for collecting additional <u>Samples</u> until a suitable <u>Sample</u> is obtained.

G.4 Requirements

G.4.1 The <u>DCO</u> shall determine that the requirements for <u>Suitable Specific</u> <u>Gravity for Analysis</u> have not been met.

G.4.2 The <u>DCO</u> shall inform the *Athlete* that he/she is required to provide a further *Sample*.

G.4.3 While waiting to provide a further *Sample*, the *Athlete* shall remain under continuous observation.

G.4.4 The *Athlete* shall be advised not to hydrate excessively, since this may delay the production of a suitable *Sample*. In appropriate circumstances, excessive hydration may be pursued as a violation of *Code* Article 2.5 (*Tampering* or *Attempted Tampering* with any part of *Doping Control*).

G.4.5 When the *Athlete* is able to provide an additional *Sample*, the <u>DCO</u> shall repeat the procedures for *Sample* collection set out in Annex D – Collection of Urine *Samples*.

G.4.6 The <u>DCO</u> should continue to collect additional *Samples* until the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u> is met, or until the <u>DCO</u> determines that there are exceptional circumstances which mean that for logistical reasons it is impossible to continue with the <u>Sample Collection</u>

<u>Session</u>. Such exceptional circumstances shall be documented accordingly by the <u>DCO</u>.

[Comment to G.4.6: It is the responsibility of the Athlete to provide a Sample with a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>. If his/her first Sample is too dilute, he/she should not need further hydration and therefore should avoid drinking as far as possible until a Sample with a <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for</u> <u>Analysis</u> is provided. The <u>DCO</u> should wait as long as necessary to collect such a Sample. The <u>Testing Authority</u> may specify procedures to be followed by the <u>DCO</u> in determining whether exceptional circumstances exist that make it impossible to continue with the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.]

G.4.7 The <u>DCO</u> shall record that the *Samples* collected belong to a single *Athlete* and the order in which the *Samples* were provided.

G.4.8 The <u>DCO</u> shall then continue with the <u>Sample Collection Session</u> in accordance with Article D.4.17.

G.4.9 If it is determined that none of the *Samples* collected from the *Athlete* meets the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u> and the <u>DCO</u> determines that for logistical reasons it is impossible to continue with the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>, the <u>DCO</u> may end the <u>Sample Collection Session</u>.

G.4.10 The <u>DCO</u> shall send to the laboratory for analysis all *Samples* which were collected, irrespective of whether or not they meet the requirement for <u>Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis</u>.

G.4.11 The laboratory shall determine, in conjunction with the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u>, which <u>Samples</u> shall be analyzed.

Annex H - <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> Requirements

H.1 Objective

To ensure that <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> have no conflict of interest and have adequate qualifications and experience to conduct <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Sessions</u>.

H.2 Scope

<u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> requirements start with the development of the necessary competencies for <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> and end with the provision of identifiable accreditation.

H.3 Responsibility

The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> has the responsibility for all activities defined in this Annex H.

H.4 Requirements - Qualifications and Training

- H.4.1 The *Sample* Collection Authority shall:
 - a) determine the necessary competence and qualification requirements for the positions of <u>DCO</u>, <u>Chaperone</u> and <u>BCO</u>; and
 - b) develop duty statements for all <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> that outline their respective responsibilities. As a minimum:
 - i) <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> shall not be *Minors*; and
 - ii) <u>BCOs</u> shall have adequate qualifications and practical skills required to perform blood collection from a vein.

H.4.2 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall ensure that <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Personnel</u> that have an interest in the outcome of a <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Session</u> are not appointed to that <u>Sample Collection Session</u>. <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u> are deemed to have such an interest if they are:

- a) Involved in the administration of the sport for which *Testing* is being conducted; or
- b) Related to, or involved in the personal affairs of, any *Athlete* who might provide a *Sample* at that session.

H.4.3 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish a system that ensures that <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> are adequately trained to carry out their duties.

H.4.3.1 The training program for <u>BCOs</u> shall include, as a minimum, studies of all relevant requirements of the *Testing* process and familiarization with relevant standard precautions in healthcare settings.

H.4.3.2 The training program for <u>DCOs</u> shall include, as a minimum:

- a) Comprehensive theoretical training in different types of *Testing* activities relevant to the <u>DCO</u> position;
- b) Observation of all *Doping Control* activities that are the responsibility of the <u>DCO</u> as set out in this International Standard for Testing and Investigations, preferably on-site; and
- c) The satisfactory performance of one complete <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Session</u> on site under observation by a qualified <u>DCO</u> or similar. The requirement related to the actual passing of a urine <u>Sample</u> shall not be included in the on-site observations.

H.4.3.3 The training program for <u>Chaperones</u> shall include studies of all relevant requirements of the *Sample* collection process.

H.4.3.4 A <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> that collects Samples from *Athletes* who are of a different nationality to its <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Personnel</u> (e.g., at an *International Event* or in an *Out-of-Competition* context) should establish additional systems to ensure that such <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u> are adequately trained to carry out their duties in respect of such *Athletes*.

H 4.4 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall maintain records of education, training, skills and experience of all <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u>.

H.5 Requirements - Accreditation, re-accreditation and delegation

H.5.1 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall establish a system for accrediting and re-accrediting <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u>.

H.5.2 The <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall ensure that <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Personnel</u> have completed the training program and are familiar with the requirements of this International Standard for Testing and Investigations (including, where Article H.4.3.4 applies, in relation to the collection of *Samples* from *Athletes* who are of a different nationality to the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u>) before granting accreditation. H.5.3 Accreditation shall only be valid for a maximum of two years. <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Personnel</u> shall be required to repeat a full training program if they have not participated in <u>Sample</u> collection activities within the year prior to re-accreditation.

H.5.4 Only <u>Sample Collection Personnel</u> who have an accreditation recognised by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> shall be authorised by the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u> to conduct <u>Sample collection activities</u> on behalf of the <u>Sample Collection Authority</u>.

H.5.5 <u>DCOs</u> may personally perform any activities involved in the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Session</u>, with the exception of blood collection unless particularly qualified, or they may direct a <u>Chaperone</u> to perform specified activities that fall within the scope of the <u>Chaperone's</u> authorised duties.

Annex I – <u>Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements</u>

I.1 Introduction

- I.1.1 An *Athlete* who is in a *Registered Testing Pool* is required:
 - a. to make quarterly <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> that provide accurate and complete information about the *Athlete's* whereabouts during the forthcoming quarter, including identifying where he/she will be living, training and competing during that quarter, and to update those <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> where necessary, so that he/she can be located for *Testing* during that quarter at the times and locations specified in the relevant <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>, as specified in Article I.3. A failure to do so may be declared a <u>Filing Failure</u>; and
 - b. to specify in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>, for each day in the forthcoming quarter, one specific 60-minute time slot where he/she will be available at a specific location for *Testing*, as specified in Article I.4. This does not limit in any way the *Athlete's Code* Article 5.2 obligation to submit to *Testing* at any time and place upon request by an *Anti-Doping Organization* with <u>Testing</u> Authority over him/her. Nor does it limit his/her obligation to provide the information specified in Article I.3 as to his/her whereabouts outside that 60-minute time slot. However, if the *Athlete* is not available for *Testing* at such location during the 60-minute time slot specified for that day in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>, that failure may be declared a <u>Missed Test</u>.

[Comment to I.1.1(b): The purpose of the 60-minute time slot is to strike a balance between the need to locate the Athlete for Testing and the impracticality and unfairness of making Athletes potentially accountable for a Missed Test every time they depart from their previously-declared routine. Anti-Doping Organizations that implemented whereabouts systems in the period up to 2009 reflected that tension in different ways. Some demanded "24/7" whereabouts information, but did not declare a Missed Test if an Athlete was not where he/she had said he/she would be unless (a) he/she could still not report for Testing despite being given notice in the form of a phone call; or (b) the following day he/she was still not where he/she had said he/she would be. Others asked for details of the Athlete's whereabouts for only one hour per day, but held the Athlete fully accountable during that period, which gave each side certainty but limited the Anti-Doping Organization's ability to test the Athlete outside that hour. After extensive consultation with stakeholders with substantial whereabouts experience, the view was taken that the best way to maximize the chances of finding the Athlete at any time, while providing a reasonable and appropriate mitigation

of "24/7" <u>Missed Test</u> liability, was to combine the best elements of each system, i.e., requiring disclosure of whereabouts information on a "24/7" basis, while limiting exposure to a <u>Missed Test</u> to a 60-minute time slot.]

I.1.2 Three <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> by an *Athlete* within any 12-month period amount to an anti-doping rule violation under *Code* Article 2.4. The <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> may be any combination of <u>Filing Failures</u> and/or <u>Missed Tests</u> declared in accordance with Article I.5 and adding up to three in total.

[Comment to I.1.2: While a single <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> will not amount to an anti-doping rule violation under Code Article 2.4, depending on the facts it could amount to an anti-doping rule violation under Code Article 2.3 (Evading Sample Collection) and/or Code Article 2.5 (Tampering or Attempted Tampering with Doping Control).]

I.1.3 The 12-month period referred to in *Code* Article 2.4 starts to run on the date that an *Athlete* commits the first <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> being relied upon in support of the allegation of a violation of *Code* Article 2.4. If two more <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> occur during the ensuing 12-month period, then a *Code* Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation is committed, irrespective of any *Samples* successfully collected from the *Athlete* during that 12-month period. However, if an *Athlete* who has committed one <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> does not go on to commit a further two <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> within 12 months of the first, at the end of that 12-month period the first <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> who has commit the first <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> within 12 months of the first, at the end of that 12-month period the first <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> who heat to run from the date of his/her next <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>.

[Comment to I.1.3: For purposes of determining whether a <u>Whereabouts</u> <u>Failure</u> has occurred within the 12-month period referred to in Code Article 2.4, (a) a <u>Filing Failure</u> will be deemed to have occurred on the first day of the quarter for which the Athlete fails to make a (sufficient) filing; and (b) a <u>Missed Test</u> will be deemed to have occurred on the date that the Sample collection was unsuccessfully attempted.]

I.1.4 To give *Athletes* the full benefit of the changes to the 2015 *Code* (reducing the relevant period under *Code* Article 2.4 from 18 months to 12 months), any <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> that occurred prior to 1 January 2015 will "expire" (for purposes of *Code* Article 2.4) 12 months after the date of its occurrence.

I.2 Entering and leaving a *Registered Testing Pool*

I.2.1 The International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable) must notify each *Athlete* designated for inclusion in its *Registered Testing Pool* of the following:

- a. the fact that he/she has been included in its *Registered Testing Pool* with effect from a specified date in the future;
- b. the whereabouts requirements with which he/she must therefore comply; and
- c. the *Consequences* if he/she fails to comply with those whereabouts requirements.

[Comment to I.2.1: This notification may be made through the National Federation or National Olympic Committee where the International Federation/National Anti-Doping Organization considers it appropriate or expedient to do so. The notice should also explain what the Athlete needs to do in order to comply with the <u>Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements</u> (or refer them to a website or other resource where they can find out that information). Athletes included in a Registered Testing Pool should be informed and educated so that they understand the whereabouts requirements that they must satisfy, how the whereabouts system works, the Consequences of <u>Filing Failures</u> and <u>Missed Tests</u>, and their right to contest <u>Filing Failures</u> and <u>Missed Tests</u> that have been asserted against them.

Anti-Doping Organizations should also be proactive in helping Athletes avoid <u>Filing Failures</u>. For example, many Anti-Doping Organizations systematically remind Athletes in their Registered Testing Pool of quarterly deadlines for <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>, and then follow up with those Athletes who have still not made the necessary filing as the deadline approaches. However, Athletes remain fully responsible for complying with the filing requirements, irrespective of whether or not the Anti-Doping Organization has provided them with such support.]

I.2.2 If the *Athlete* is included in the International Federation's international *Registered Testing Pool* and in the *National Anti-Doping Organization's* national *Registered Testing Pool* (or in the *Registered Testing Pool* of more than one *National Anti-Doping Organization* or more than one International Federation), then each of them shall notify the *Athlete* that he/she is in its pool. Prior to doing so, however, they must agree between themselves which of them the *Athlete* should provide his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> to, and each notice sent to the *Athlete* should specify that he/she should provide his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> to that *Anti-Doping Organization* only (and it will then share that information with the other, and with any other *Anti-Doping Organizations* having *Testing* jurisdiction over the *Athlete*). An *Athlete* must not be asked to provide <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> to more than one *Anti-Doping Organization*.

[Comment to I.2.2: If the respective Anti-Doping Organizations cannot agree between themselves which of them will take responsibility for collecting the

Athlete's whereabouts information, and for making it available to the other Anti-Doping Organizations with authority to test the Athlete, then they should each explain in writing to WADA how they believe the matter should be resolved, and WADA will decide based on the best interests of the Athlete. WADA's decision will be final and may not be appealed.]

I.2.3 An International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* that maintains a *Registered Testing Pool* shall establish a workable system for the collection, maintenance and sharing of <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>, preferably using an online system (capable of recording who enters information and when) or at least fax, email and/or SMS text messaging, to ensure that:

- a. the information provided by the *Athlete* is stored safely and securely (in *ADAMS* or another system approved by *WADA*);
- b. the information can be accessed by (i) authorized individuals acting on behalf of the International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable) on a need-to-know basis only; (ii) *WADA*; and (iii) other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with *Testing* jurisdiction over the *Athlete*; and
- c. the information is maintained in strict confidence at all times, is used exclusively for the purposes set out in *Code* Article 5.6, and is destroyed in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information once it is no longer relevant.

I.2.4 An *Athlete* who has been included in a *Registered Testing Pool* shall continue to be subject to the <u>Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements</u> unless and until:

- a. he/she has been given written notice by each Anti-Doping Organization that put him in its Registered Testing Pool that he/she is no longer designated for inclusion in its Registered Testing Pool; or
- b. he/she retires from *Competition* in the sport in question in accordance with the applicable rules and gives written notice to that effect to each *Anti-Doping Organization* that put him/her in its *Registered Testing Pool*.

[Comment to I.2.4: The applicable rules may also require that notice of retirement be sent to the Athlete's National Federation.

Where an Athlete retires from but then returns to sport, his/her period of non-availability for Out-of-Competition Testing shall be disregarded for

purposes of calculating the 12-month period referred to in Code Article 2.4. As a result, <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> committed by the Athlete prior to retirement may be combined, for purposes of Code Article 2.4, with <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> committed by the Athlete after he/she again becomes available for Out-of-Competition Testing. For example, if an Athlete committed two <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> in the six months prior to his/her retirement, then if he/she commits another <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> in the first six months in which he/she is again available for Out-of-Competition Testing, that amounts to a Code Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation.]

I.3 Whereabouts Filing Requirements

I.3.1 On a date specified by the *Anti-Doping Organization* collecting an *Athlete's* <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> – which date shall be prior to the first day of each quarter (i.e., 1 January, 1 April, 1 July and 1 October, respectively) – an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool* must file a <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> that contains at least the following information:

a. a complete mailing address where correspondence may be sent to the *Athlete* for formal notice purposes. Any notice or other item mailed to that address will be deemed to have been received by the *Athlete* five working days after it was deposited in the mail;

[Comment to I.3.1(a): For these purposes, the Athlete should specify an address where he/she lives or otherwise knows that mail received there will be immediately brought to his/her attention. An Anti-Doping Organization is encouraged also to supplement this basic provision with other notice and/or "deemed notice" provisions in its rules (for example, permitting use of fax, email, SMS text or other methods of service of notice; permitting proof of actual receipt as a substitute for deemed receipt; permitting notice to be served on the Athlete's National Federation if it is returned undelivered from the address supplied by the Athlete). The aim of such provisions should be to shorten the results management timelines.]

- b. details of any impairment of the *Athlete* that may affect the procedure to be followed in conducting a <u>Sample Collection</u> <u>Session</u>;
- c. specific confirmation of the *Athlete's* consent to the sharing of his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> with other *Anti-Doping Organizations* that have <u>Testing Authority</u> over him/her;
- d. for each day during the following quarter, the full address of the place where the *Athlete* will be staying overnight (e.g., home, temporary lodgings, hotel, etc);

e. for each day during the following quarter, the name and address of each location where the *Athlete* will train, work or conduct any other regular activity (e.g. school), as well as the usual timeframes for such regular activities; and

[Comment to I.3.1(e): This requirement applies only to activities that are part of the Athlete's regular routine. For example, if the Athlete's regular routine includes training at the gym, the pool and the track, and regular physio sessions, then the Athlete should provide the name and address of the gym, track, pool and physio in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>, and then set out his/her usual routine, e.g., "Mondays: 9-11 gym, 13-17 gym; Tuesdays: 9-11 gym, 16–18 gym; Wednesdays: 9–11 track, 3-5 physio; Thursdays: 9-12 gym 16-18 track; Fridays: 9-11 pool 3-5 physio; Saturdays: 9-12 track, 13-15 pool; Sundays: 9-11 track, 13-15 pool".

If the Athlete is not currently training, he/she should specify that in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> and detail any other routine that he/she will be following in the forthcoming quarter, e.g., his/her work routine, or school schedule, or rehab routine, or other routine, and identify the name and address of each location where that routine is conducted and the time-frame during which it is conducted.

In the case of a Team Sport or other sport where competing and/or training are carried out on a collective basis, the Athlete's regular activities are likely to include most if not all <u>Team Activities</u>.]

f. the *Athlete's Competition* schedule for the following quarter, including the name and address of each location where the *Athlete* is scheduled to compete during the quarter and the date(s) on which he/she is scheduled to compete at such location(s).

I.3.2 Subject to Article I.3.3, the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> must also include, for each day during the following quarter, one specific 60-minute time slot between 5 a.m. and 11 p.m. each day where the *Athlete* will be available and accessible for *Testing* at a specific location.

[Comment to I.3.2: The Athlete can choose which 60-minute time slot between 5 a.m. and 11 p.m. to use for this purpose, provided that during the time slot in question he/she is somewhere accessible by the <u>DCO</u>. It could be the Athlete's place of residence, training or Competition, or it could be another location (e.g., work or school). An Athlete is entitled to specify a 60minute time slot during which he/she will be at a hotel, apartment building, gated community or other location where access to the Athlete is obtained via a front desk, or doorman, or security guard. In addition, an Athlete may specify a time slot when he/she is taking part in a <u>Team Activity</u>. In either

case, however, any failure to be accessible and available for Testing at the specified location during the specified time slot will be a <u>Missed Test</u>.]

As the sole exception to Article I.3.2, if (but only if) there are dates I.3.3 in the relevant quarter in which the *Athlete* is scheduled to compete in an Event (excluding any Events organized by a Major Event Organization), and the Anti-Doping Organization that put the Athlete into the Registered Testing *Pool* is satisfied that enough information is available from other sources to find the Athlete for Testing on those dates, then the Anti-Doping Organization that put the Athlete into the Registered Testing Pool may waive the Article I.3.2 requirement to specify a 60-minute time-slot in respect of such dates ("In-Competition Dates"). If each of the International Federation and a National Anti-Doping Organization put the Athlete into its Registered Testing Pool, the International Federation's decision as to whether to waive that requirement in respect of *In-Competition* Dates will prevail. If the requirement to specify a 60-minute time slot has been waived in respect of *In-Competition* Dates, and the *Athlete* has specified in his/her Whereabouts Filing a series of dates on which he/she anticipates being In-Competition (and as a result has not specified a 60-minute time slot for those dates), if he/she is then knocked out of the *Competition* before the end of those dates, so that the remaining dates are no longer *In-Competition* Dates, he/she must update his/her Whereabouts Filing to provide all the necessary information for those dates, including the 60-minute time slot specified in Article I.3.2.

I.3.4 It is the *Athlete's* responsibility to ensure that he/she provides all of the information required in a <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> accurately and in sufficient detail to enable any *Anti-Doping Organization* wishing to do so to locate the *Athlete* for *Testing* on any given day in the quarter at the times and locations specified by the *Athlete* in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> for that day, including but not limited to during the 60-minute time slot specified for that day in the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>. More specifically, the *Athlete* must provide sufficient information to enable the <u>DCO</u> to find the location, to gain access to the location, and to find the *Athlete* at the location. A failure to do so may be pursued as a <u>Filing Failure</u> and/or (if the circumstances so warrant) as evasion of *Sample* collection under *Code* Article 2.3, and/or *Tampering* or *Attempted Tampering With Doping Control* under *Code* Article 2.5. In any event, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall consider *Target Testing* of the *Athlete*.

[Comment to I.3.4: For example, declarations such as "running in the Black Forest" are insufficient and are likely to result in a <u>Filing Failure</u>. Similarly, specifying a location that the DCO cannot access (e.g., a "restricted-access" building or area) is likely to result in a <u>Filing Failure</u>. The Anti-Doping Organization may be able to determine the insufficiency of the information from the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> itself, or alternatively it may only discover the insufficiency of the information when it attempts to test the Athlete and is

unable to locate him/her. In either case, the matter should be pursued as an apparent <u>Filing Failure</u>, and/or (where the circumstances warrant) as an evasion of Sample collection under Code Article 2.3, and/or as Tampering or Attempting to Tamper with Doping Control under Code Article 2.5.

Where an Athlete does not know precisely what his/her whereabouts will be at all times during the forthcoming quarter, he/she must provide his/her best information, based on where he/she expects to be at the relevant times, and then update that information as necessary in accordance with Article I.3.5.]

I.3.5 Where a change in circumstances means that the information in a <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> is no longer accurate or complete as required by Article I.3.4, the *Athlete* must file an update so that the information on file is again accurate and complete. In particular, the *Athlete* must always update his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> to reflect any change in any day in the quarter in question (a) in the time or location of the 60-minute time slot specified in Article I.3.2; and/or (b) in the place where he/she is staying overnight. The *Athlete* must file the update as soon as possible after the circumstances change, and in any event prior to the 60-minute time slot specified in his/her filing for the day in question. A failure to do so may be pursued as a <u>Filing Failure</u> and/or (if the circumstances so warrant) as evasion of *Sample* collection under *Code* Article 2.3, and/or *Tampering* or *Attempted Tampering* with *Doping Control* under *Code* Article 2.5. In any event, the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall consider *Target Testing* of the *Athlete*.

[Comment to I.3.5: The Anti-Doping Organization collecting the Athlete's <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> should provide appropriate mechanisms (e.g., phone, fax, Internet, email, SMS) to facilitate the filing of such updates.

It is the responsibility of each Anti-Doping Organization with <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> over the Athlete to ensure that it checks for any updates filed by the Athlete prior to attempting to collect a Sample from the Athlete based on his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>. For the avoidance of doubt, however, an Athlete who updates his/her 60-minute time slot for a particular day prior to the original 60-minute slot must still submit to Testing during the original 60minute time slot, if he/she is located for Testing during that time slot.]

I.3.6 An *Athlete* may only be declared to have committed a <u>Filing Failure</u> where the <u>Results Management Authority</u> establishes each of the following:

a. that the *Athlete* was duly notified (i) that he/she had been designated for inclusion in a *Registered Testing Pool*; (ii) of the consequent requirement to make <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>; and (iii) of the *Consequences* of any <u>Failure to Comply</u> with that requirement;

b. that the *Athlete* failed to comply with that requirement by the applicable deadline;

[Comment to I.3.6(b): An Athlete fails to comply with the requirement to make <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> (i) where he/she does not make any such filing, or where he/she fails to update the filing as required by Article I.3.5; or (ii) where he/she makes the filing or update but does not include all of the required information in that filing or update (e.g. he/she does not include the place where he/she will be staying overnight for each day in the following quarter, or for each day covered by the update, or omits to declare a regular activity that he/she will be pursuing during the quarter, or during the period covered by the update); or (iii) where he/she includes information in the original filing or the update that is inaccurate (e.g., an address that does not exist) or insufficient to enable the Anti-Doping Organization to locate him/her for Testing (e.g., "running in the Black Forest").]

c. (in the case of a second or third <u>Filing Failure</u> in the same quarter) that he/she was given notice, in accordance with Article I.5.2(d), of the previous <u>Filing Failure</u>, and (if that <u>Filing Failure</u> revealed deficiencies in the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> that would lead to further <u>Filing Failures</u> if not rectified) was advised in the notice that in order to avoid a further <u>Filing Failure</u> he/she must file the required <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> (or update) by the deadline specified in the notice (which must be no less than 24 hours after receipt of the notice and no later than the end of the month in which the notice is received) and yet failed to rectify that <u>Filing Failure</u> by the deadline specified in the notice; and

[Comment to I.3.6(c): The requirement is to give the Athlete notice of the first <u>Filing Failure</u> in the quarter and an opportunity to avoid a subsequent one, before a subsequent <u>Filing Failure</u> may be pursued against him/her that quarter. But that is all that is required. In particular, it is not necessary to complete the results management process with respect to the first <u>Filing Failure</u> before pursuing a second <u>Filing Failure</u> against the Athlete.]

d. that the *Athlete's* <u>Failure to Comply</u> was at least negligent. For these purposes, the *Athlete* will be presumed to have committed the failure negligently upon proof that he/she was notified of the requirements yet failed to comply with them. That presumption may only be rebutted by the *Athlete* establishing that no negligent behaviour on his/her part caused or contributed to the failure.

I.4 Availability for *Testing*

I.4.1 While *Code* Article 5.2 specifies that every *Athlete* must submit to *Testing* at any time and place upon request by an *Anti-Doping Organization* with *Testing* jurisdiction over him/her, in addition an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool* must specifically be present and available for *Testing* on any given day during the 60-minute time slot specified for that day in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>, at the location that the *Athlete* has specified for that time slot in such filing. A <u>Failure to Comply</u> with this requirement shall be pursued as an apparent <u>Missed Test</u>. If the *Athlete* is tested during such a time slot, the *Athlete* must remain with the <u>DCO</u> until the *Sample* collection has been completed, even if this takes longer than the 60-minute time slot. A failure to do so shall be pursued as an apparent violation of *Code* Article 2.3 (refusal or failure to submit to *Sample* collection).

[Comment to I.4.1: For Testing to be effective in deterring and detecting cheating, it should be as unpredictable as possible. Therefore, the intent behind the 60-minute time slot is not to limit Testing to that period, or to create a 'default' period for Testing, but rather:

- a. to make it very clear when an unsuccessful attempt to test an Athlete will count as a <u>Missed Test</u>;
- b. to guarantee that the Athlete can be found, and a Sample can be collected, at least once per day (which should deter doping, or, as a minimum, make it far more difficult);
- c. to increase the reliability of the rest of the whereabouts information provided by the Athlete, and so to assist the Anti-Doping Organization in locating the Athlete for Testing outside the 60-minute time slot. The 60-minute time slot "anchors" the Athlete to a certain location for a particular day. Combined with the information that the Athlete must provide as to where he/she is staying overnight, training, competing and conducting other 'regular' activities during that day, the Anti-Doping Organization should be able to locate the Athlete for Testing outside the 60minute time slot; and
- d. to generate useful anti-doping intelligence, e.g., if the Athlete regularly specifies time slots with large gaps between them, and/or changes his time slot and/or location at the last minute. Such intelligence can be relied upon as a basis for the Target Testing of such Athlete.]

I.4.2 To ensure fairness to the *Athlete*, where an unsuccessful attempt has been made to test an *Athlete* during one of the 60-minute time slots

specified in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u>, any subsequent unsuccessful attempt to test that *Athlete* (by the same or any other *Anti-Doping Organization*) during one of the 60-minute time slots specified in his/her <u>Whereabouts</u> <u>Filing</u> may only be counted as a <u>Missed Test</u> (or, if the unsuccessful attempt was because the information filed was insufficient to find the *Athlete* during the time slot, as a <u>Filing Failure</u>) against that *Athlete* if that subsequent attempt takes place after the *Athlete* has received notice, in accordance with Article I.5.2(d), of the original unsuccessful attempt.

[Comment to I.4.2: The requirement is to give the Athlete notice of one <u>Missed Test</u> _before a subsequent <u>Missed Test</u> may be pursued against him/her. But that is all that is required. In particular, it is not necessary to complete the results management process with respect to the first <u>Missed Test</u> before pursuing a second <u>Missed Test</u> against the Athlete.]

I.4.3 An *Athlete* may only be declared to have committed a <u>Missed Test</u> where the <u>Results Management Authority</u> can establish each of the following:

- a. that when the *Athlete* was given notice that he/she had been designated for inclusion in a *Registered Testing Pool*, he/she was advised that he/she would be liable for a <u>Missed Test</u> if he/she was unavailable for *Testing* during the 60-minute time slot specified in his/her <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> at the location specified for that time slot;
- b. that a <u>DCO</u> attempted to test the *Athlete* on a given day in the quarter, during the 60-minute time slot specified in the *Athlete's* <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> for that day, by visiting the location specified for that time slot;

[I.4.3(b) Comment: If the Athlete is not available for Testing at the beginning of the 60-minute time slot, but becomes available for Testing later on in the 60-minute time slot, the <u>DCO</u> should collect the Sample and should not process the attempt as an unsuccessful attempt to test, but should include full details of the delay in availability of the Athlete in the mission report. Any pattern of behaviour of this type should be investigated as a possible anti-doping rule violation of evading Sample collection under Code Article 2.3 or Code Article 2.5. It may also prompt Target Testing of the Athlete.

If an Athlete is not available for Testing during his/her specified 60-minute time slot at the location specified for that time slot for that day, he/she will be liable for a <u>Missed Test</u> even if he/she is located later that day and a Sample is successfully collected from him/her.] c. that during that specified 60-minute time slot, the <u>DCO</u> did what was reasonable in the circumstances (i.e. given the nature of the specified location) to try to locate the *Athlete*, short of giving the *Athlete* any advance notice of the test;

[Comment to I.4.3(c): Once the <u>DCO</u> has arrived at the location specified for the 60-minute time slot, if the Athlete cannot be located immediately then the <u>DCO</u> should remain at that location for whatever time is left of the 60minute time slot and during that remaining time he/she should do what is reasonable in the circumstances to try to locate the Athlete. See WADA's Guidelines for Implementing an Effective Athlete Whereabouts Program for guidance in determining what is reasonable in such circumstances.

Where an Athlete has not been located despite the <u>DCO's</u> reasonable efforts, and there are only five minutes left within the 60-minute time slot, then as a last resort the DCO may (but does not have to) telephone the Athlete (assuming he/she has provided his/her telephone number in his/her Whereabouts Filing) to see if he/she is at the specified location. If the Athlete answers the DCO's call and is available at (or in the immediate vicinity of) the location for immediate testing (i.e., within the 60 minute time slot), then the DCO should wait for the Athlete and should collect the Sample from him/her as normal. However, the DCO should also make a careful note of all the circumstances, so that it can be decided if any further investigation should be conducted. In particular, the <u>DCO</u> should make a note of any facts suggesting that there could have been tampering or manipulation of the Athlete's urine or blood in the time that elapsed between the phone call and the Sample collection. If the Athlete answers the DCO's call and is not at the specified location or in the immediate vicinity, and so cannot make himself/herself available for testing within the 60-minute time slot, the DCO should file an Unsuccessful Attempt Report.

Because the making of a telephone call is discretionary rather than mandatory, and is left entirely to the absolute discretion of the <u>Sample</u> <u>Collection Authority</u>, proof that a telephone call was made is not a requisite element of a <u>Missed Test</u>, and the lack of a telephone call does not give the Athlete a defence to the assertion of a <u>Missed Test</u>.]

- d. that Article I.4.2 does not apply or (if it applies) was complied with; and
- e. that the *Athlete's* failure to be available for *Testing* at the specified location during the specified 60-minute time slot was at least negligent. For these purposes, the *Athlete* will be presumed to have been negligent upon proof of the matters set out at sub-Articles I.4.3(a) to (d). That presumption may only be rebutted by the *Athlete* establishing that no negligent behaviour on

his/her part caused or contributed to his/her failure (i) to be available for *Testing* at such location during such time slot, and (ii) to update his/her most recent <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> to give notice of a different location where he/she would instead be available for *Testing* during a specified 60-minute time slot on the relevant day.

I.5 Results Management

I.5.1 In accordance with *Code* Articles 7.1.2 and 7.6, the <u>Results</u> <u>Management Authority</u> in relation to potential <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> shall be the International Federation or the *National Anti-Doping Organization* with whom the *Athlete* in question files his/her whereabouts information.

[Comment to I.5.1: If an Anti-Doping Organization that receives an Athlete's Whereabouts Filings (and so is his/her Results Management Authority for whereabouts purposes) removes the Athlete from its Registered Testing Pool after recording one or two Whereabouts Failures against him/her, then if the Athlete remains in (or is put in) another Anti-Doping Organization's Registered Testing Pool, and that other Anti-Doping Organization starts receiving his/her Whereabouts Filings, then that other Anti-Doping Organization becomes the <u>Results Management Authority</u> in respect of all Whereabouts Failures by that Athlete, including those recorded by the first Anti-Doping Organization. In that case, the first Anti-Doping Organization shall provide the second Anti-Doping Organization with full information about the Whereabouts Failure(s) recorded by the first Anti-Doping Organization in the relevant period, so that if the second Anti-Doping Organization records any further Whereabouts Failure(s) against that Athlete, it has all the information it needs to bring proceedings against him/her, in accordance with Article I.5.4, for violation of Code Article 2.4.]

I.5.2 When a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> appears to have occurred, results management shall proceed as follows:

- a. If the apparent <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> has been uncovered by an attempt to test the *Athlete*, the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> shall obtain an <u>Unsuccessful</u> <u>Attempt</u> <u>Report</u> from the <u>DCO</u>. If the <u>Testing</u> <u>Authority</u> is different from the <u>Results</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Authority</u>, it shall provide the <u>Unsuccessful</u> <u>Attempt</u> <u>Report</u> to the <u>Results</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Authority</u> without delay, and thereafter it shall assist the <u>Results</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Authority</u> as necessary in obtaining information from the <u>DCO</u> in relation to the apparent <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>.
- b. The <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall review the file (including any <u>Unsuccessful Attempt Report</u> filed by the <u>DCO</u>) to determine

whether all of the Article I.3.6 requirements (in the case of a <u>Filing Failure</u>) or all of the Article I.4.3 requirements (in the case of a <u>Missed Test</u>) are met. It shall gather information as necessary from third parties (e.g., the <u>DCO</u> whose test attempt uncovered the <u>Filing Failure</u> or triggered the <u>Missed Test</u>) to assist it in this task.

[Comment to I.5.2(b): WADA's Guidelines for Implementing an Effective Athlete Whereabouts Program include guidance as to what explanations may or may not excuse an apparent <u>Filing Failure</u> or <u>Missed Test</u>.]

- c. If the <u>Results Management Authority</u> concludes that any of the relevant requirements have not been met (so that no <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> should be declared), it shall so advise *WADA*, the International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable), and the *Anti-Doping Organization* that uncovered the <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>, giving reasons for its decision. Each of them shall have a right of appeal against that decision in accordance with *Code* Article 13.
- d. If the Results Management Authority concludes that all of the relevant requirements have been met, it shall notify the Athlete within 14 days of the date of the apparent Whereabouts Failure. The notice shall include sufficient details of the apparent Whereabouts Failure to enable the Athlete to respond meaningfully, and shall give the *Athlete* a reasonable deadline to respond, advising whether he/she admits the Whereabouts Failure and, if not, then why not. The notice should also advise the Athlete that three Whereabouts Failures in any 12-month period is a *Code* Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation, and should note whether he/she has any other Whereabouts Failures recorded against him/her in the previous 12 months. In the case of a Filing Failure, the notice must also advise the *Athlete* that in order to avoid a further Filing Failure he/she must file the missing whereabouts information by the deadline specified in the notice (which must be no less than 24 hours after receipt of the notice and no later than the end of the month in which the notice is received).
- e. If the *Athlete* does not respond within the specified deadline, the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall record the notified <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> against him/her. If the *Athlete* does respond within the deadline, it shall consider whether his/her response changes its original decision that all of the requirements for recording a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> have been met.

- i. If so, it shall so advise WADA, the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization (as applicable), and the Anti-Doping Organization that uncovered the Whereabouts Failure, giving reasons for its decision. Each of them shall have a right of appeal against that decision in accordance with Code Article 13.
- ii. If not, it shall so advise the *Athlete* (with reasons) and specify a reasonable deadline by which he/she may request an administrative review of its decision. The <u>Unsuccessful</u> <u>Attempt Report</u> should be provided to the *Athlete* at this point if it has not been provided to him/her earlier in the process.
- f. If the *Athlete* does not request an administrative review by the specified deadline, the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall record the notified <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> against him/her. If the *Athlete* does request an administrative review before the deadline, it shall be carried out, based on the papers only, by one or more persons not previously involved in the assessment of the apparent <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>. The purpose of the administrative review shall be to determine anew whether or not all of the relevant requirements for recording a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> are met.
- g. If the conclusion following administrative review is that all of the requirements for recording a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> are not met, the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall so advise *WADA*, the International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable), and the *Anti-Doping Organization* that uncovered the <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>, giving reasons for its decision. Each of them shall have a right of appeal against that decision in accordance with *Code* Article 13. On the other hand, if the conclusion is that all of the requirements for recording a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> are met, it shall notify the *Athlete* and shall record the notified <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> against him/her.

I.5.3 The <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall report a decision to record a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> against an *Athlete* to *WADA* and all other relevant *Anti-Doping Organizations*, on a confidential basis, via *ADAMS* or other system approved by *WADA*.

[Comment to I.5.3: For the avoidance of doubt, the <u>Results Management</u> <u>Authority</u> is entitled to notify other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations (on a strictly confidential basis) of the apparent <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> at an earlier stage of the results management process, where it considers it appropriate

(for test planning purposes or otherwise). In addition, an Anti-Doping Organization may publish a general statistical report of its activities that discloses in general terms the number of <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> that have been recorded in respect of Athletes under its jurisdiction during a particular period, provided that it does not publish any information that might reveal the identity of the Athletes involved. Prior to any proceedings under Code Article 2.4, an Anti-Doping Organization should not Publicly Disclose that a particular Athlete does (or does not) have any <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> recorded against him/her (or that a particular sport does, or does not, have Athletes with <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> recorded against them).]

I.5.4 Where three <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> are recorded against an *Athlete* within any 12-month period, the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall bring proceedings against the *Athlete* alleging violation of *Code* Article 2.4. If the <u>Results Management Authority</u> fails to bring such proceedings against an *Athlete* within 30 days of *WADA* receiving notice of the recording of that *Athlete's* third <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> in any 12-month period, then the <u>Results Management Authority</u> shall be deemed to have decided that no anti-doping rule violation was committed, for purposes of triggering the appeal rights set out at *Code* Article 13.2.

I.5.5 An Athlete alleged to have committed a Code Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation shall have the right to have such allegation determined at a full evidentiary hearing in accordance with Code Article 8. The hearing panel shall not be bound by any determination made during the results management process, whether as to the adequacy of any explanation offered for a Whereabouts Failure or otherwise. Instead, the burden shall be on the Anti-Doping Organization bringing the proceedings to establish all of the requisite elements of each alleged Whereabouts Failure to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel. If the hearing panel decides that one (or two) Whereabouts Failures(s) have been established to the required standard, but that the other alleged Whereabouts Failure(s) has/have not, then no Code Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation shall be found to have occurred. However, if the *Athlete* then commits one (or two, as applicable) further Whereabouts Failure(s) within the relevant 12-month period, new proceedings may be brought based on a combination of the Whereabouts Failure(s) established to the satisfaction of the hearing panel in the previous proceedings (in accordance with Code Article 3.2.3) and the Whereabouts Failure(s) subsequently committed by the Athlete.

[Comment to I.5.5: Nothing in Article I.5.5 is intended to prevent the Anti-Doping Organization challenging an argument raised on the Athlete's behalf at the hearing on the basis that it could have been but was not raised at an earlier stage of the results management process.] I.5.6 A finding that an *Athlete* has committed a *Code* Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation has the following *Consequences*: (a) imposition of a period of *Ineligibility* in accordance with *Code* Article 10.3.2 (first violation) or *Code* Article 10.7 (subsequent violation(s)); and (b) in accordance with *Code* Article 10.8, *Disqualification* (unless fairness requires otherwise) of all individual results obtained by the *Athlete* from the date of the *Code* Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation through to the date of commencement of any *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility* period, with all of the resulting *Consequences*, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes. For these purposes, the anti-doping rule violation shall be deemed to have occurred on the date of the third <u>Whereabouts Failure</u> found by the hearing panel to have occurred. The impact of any *Code* Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation by an individual *Athlete* on the results of any team for which that *Athlete* has played during the relevant period shall be determined in accordance with *Code* Article 11.

I.6 Whereabouts Responsibilities

- I.6.1 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Annex I:
 - a. an International Federation may propose, and a *National Anti-Doping Organization* may agree to, the delegation of some or all of the whereabouts responsibilities of the International Federation under this Annex I to the *National Anti-Doping Organization*;
 - b. an International Federation may delegate some or all of its whereabouts responsibilities under this Annex I to the *Athlete's* National Federation; or
 - c. a *National Anti-Doping Organization* may delegate some or all of its whereabouts responsibilities under this Annex I to the *Athlete's* National Federation or other appropriate *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority over the *Athlete* in question;
 - d. where no appropriate *National Anti-Doping Organization* exists, the *National Olympic Committee* shall assume the whereabouts responsibilities of the *National Anti-Doping Organization* set out in this Annex I; and
 - e. where WADA determines that the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization (as applicable) is not discharging some or all of its whereabouts responsibilities under this Annex I, WADA may delegate some or all of those responsibilities to any other appropriate Anti-Doping Organization.

I.6.2 A National Federation must use its best efforts to assist its International Federation and/or *National Anti-Doping Organization* (as applicable) in collecting <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> from *Athletes* who are subject to that National Federation's authority, including (without limitation) making special provision in its rules for that purpose.

I.6.3 An *Athlete* may choose to delegate the task of making his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> (and/or any updates thereto) to a third party, such as a coach, a manager or a National Federation, provided that the third party agrees to such delegation. The *Anti-Doping Organization* collecting the *Athlete's* <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> may require written notice of any agreed delegation to be filed with it, signed by both the *Athlete* in question and the third party delegate.

[Comment to I.6.3: For example, an Athlete participating in a Team Sport or other sport where competing and/or training is carried out on a collective basis, may delegate the task of making his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> to the team, to be carried out by a coach, a manager or a National Federation. Indeed, for the sake of convenience and efficiency, an Athlete in such a sport may delegate the making of his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u> to his/her team not only in respect of periods of <u>Team Activities</u> but also in respect of periods where he/she is not with the team, provided the team agrees. In such circumstances, the Athlete will need to provide the information as to his/her individual whereabouts for the period in question to the team, to supplement the information it provides in relation to <u>Team Activities</u>.]

I.6.4 In all cases, however, including in the case of *Athletes* in *Team Sports*:

- a. each *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool* remains ultimately responsible at all times for making accurate and complete <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>, whether he/she makes each filing personally or delegates the task to a third party. It shall not be a defence to an allegation of a <u>Filing Failure</u> that the *Athlete* delegated such responsibility to a third party and that third party failed to comply with the applicable requirements; and
- b. such *Athlete* remains personally responsible at all times for ensuring he/she is available for *Testing* at the whereabouts declared on his/her <u>Whereabouts Filings</u>. It shall not be a defence to an allegation of a <u>Missed Test</u> that the *Athlete* delegated responsibility for filing his/her whereabouts information for the relevant period to a third party and that third party failed to file the correct information or failed to update previously-filed information so as to ensure that the whereabouts

information in the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> for the day in question was current and accurate.

[Comment to I.6.4: For example, if an attempt to test an Athlete during a 60-minute time slot designated within a particular <u>Team Activity</u> period is unsuccessful due to a team official filing the wrong information in relation to the <u>Team Activity</u>, or failing to update previously-filed information where the details of the <u>Team Activity</u> have subsequently changed, the team may be liable for sanction under the applicable rules of the International Federation for such failure, but the Athlete himself/herself will still be liable for a <u>Whereabouts Failure</u>. This must be the case because if an Athlete is able to blame his/her team if he/she is not available for Testing at a location declared by his/her team, then he/she will be able to avoid accountability for his/her whereabouts for Testing. Of course the team has the same interest as the Athlete in ensuring the accuracy of the <u>Whereabouts Filing</u> and avoiding any <u>Whereabouts Failures</u> on the part of the Athlete.]

Annex J – Event Testing

J.1 As anticipated by *Code* Article 5.3.2., this Annex sets out the procedure to be followed by *WADA* in considering requests made by *Anti-Doping Organizations* for permission to conduct *Testing* at an *Event* where they have been unable to reach agreement on such *Testing* with the ruling body of the *Event*.

J.2 WADA's aim in considering such requests is to encourage collaboration and coordination between different Anti-Doping Organizations to optimize the effectiveness of their respective Testing programs while ensuring that each Anti-Doping Organization's responsibilities are properly managed to avoid creating operational disturbance and harassment for Athletes.

J.3 Any Anti-Doping Organization that is not responsible for initiating and directing *Testing* at an *Event* in accordance with *Code* Article 5.3.2, but which nevertheless desires to conduct *Testing* at such *Event* shall, **prior to contacting WADA**, request such permission from the ruling body of the *Event* in written form with full supporting reasons.

J.4 Such request shall be sent to the ruling body at least **35 days** prior to the beginning of the *Event* (i.e., 35 days prior to the beginning of the *In-Competition* period as defined by the rules of the International Federation in charge of that sport).

J.5 If the ruling body refuses, or does not respond within **7 days** from receipt of the request, the requesting *Anti-Doping Organization* may send to *WADA* (with a copy to the ruling body) a written request with full supporting reasons, a clear description of the situation, and all the relevant correspondence between the ruling body and the requesting *Anti-Doping Organization*. Such request must be received by *WADA* no later than **21 days** prior to the beginning of the *Event*.

J.6 Upon receipt of such request, *WADA* will immediately ask the ruling body for its position on the request and the ground for its refusal. The ruling body shall send *WADA* an answer within **7 days** of receipt of *WADA's* request.

J.7 Upon receipt by *WADA* of the ruling body's answer, or if no answer is provided by the ruling body within the **7 days**, *WADA* will render a reasoned decision within the next **7 days**. In making its decision, *WADA* will consider, amongst others, the following:

- a) The <u>Test Distribution Plan</u> for the *Event*, including the number and type of tests planned for the *Event*;
- b) The menu of *Prohibited Substances* for which the *Samples* collected will be analyzed;
- c) The overall anti-doping program applied in the sport;
- d) The logistical issues that would be created by allowing the requesting *Anti-Doping Organization* to test at the *Event*;
- e) Any other grounds submitted by the requesting *Anti-Doping Organization* and/or the ruling body refusing such *Testing*; and
- f) Any other available information that *WADA* considers relevant.

J.8 If WADA decides that permission for *Testing* at the *Event* should be granted, either as requested by the requesting *Anti-Doping Organization* or as proposed by WADA, WADA may give the ruling body the possibility of conducting such *Testing*, unless WADA judges that this is not realistic and/or appropriate in the circumstances.